The MJO and Tropical Convection in the CAM and the SP-CAM: A Few More Details Kate Thayer-Calder

> Randall Research Group Colorado State University <u>katetc@atmos.colostate.edu</u>





CMMAP MJO FOCUS THEME | FORT COLLINS | AUGUST 9, 2007



- Overview of my datasets
- Basic differences in CAM and SP-CAM MJOs
- MJO Moisture Profiles in CAM vs SP-CAM
- MJO Heating Profiles in CAM vs SP-CAM





Model Data

- Both runs were done at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory a little over a year ago.
- 4 years (June 98-May 02) of CAM 3.0 with Zhang & McFarlane (1995) with AMIP-style forcing
 - Finite Volume Dy-Core, 26 Vertical layers, 2° lat x
 2.5° long
- 4 years (June 98-May 02) of Super Parameterized CAM (3.0) with AMIP-style forcing
 - Embedded CSRM with 64 columns at 4km spacing,
 24 vertical layers aligned East-West









CMMAP MJO FOCUS THEME | FORT COLLINS | AUGUST 9, 2007

Colorado

tate









Basic Differences





CMMAP MJO FOCUS THEME | FORT COLLINS | AUGUST 9, 2007









MJO Spatial Structures

Case Study Wind field and Surface Relative Humidity for MJO Event in SP-CAM

Located at 80E in Jan 1999



MO Moisture Profiles Relative Humidity Composite during MJO Passage (SP-CAM) Relative Humidity Composite during MJO Passage (CAM) 100 100 300 300 Height (hPa) Height (hPa) 500 500 700 700 850 850 1000 1000 -30 -20 -10 20 30 -30 -20 10 20 30 0 10 -10 0 Days relative to Minimum Filtered OLR Days relative to Minimum Filtered OLR

- Much less moisture in the mid Troposphere in the CAM.
- SP-CAM Builds up a deeply moistened column as the wave passes, and then a dryer column after.





Composite Tropical RH Profile per Rain-rate



- SP-CAM has much higher values of rain-rates than the CAM
- Higher RH profiles above heavy rain indicate that SP-CAM does not rain as easily as CAM
- 'Critical Value' of Relative Humidity higher in SP-CAM
- Analysis of TOGA-COARE soundings support SP-CAM (see poster)





Moistening Processes







CMMAP MJO FOCUS THEME | FORT COLLINS | AUGUST 9, 2007

CAPE



- Started looking at CAPE, but LHS not particularly statistically significant.
- Drop in CAPE with high rainrates good for all but ERA-40 (against TRMM rain)

MJO Heating Profiles QI

- SP-CAM builds up to intense heating at upper levels as the wave approaches. Much less heating afterwards.
- CAM never quite builds up a large area of heating in the upper levels.
- SP-CAM has constant intense cooling at lowest levels downdrafts and evaporative cooling?

MJO Heating Profiles Q2

- Again, SP-CAM builds up to intense heating and drying at upper levels as the wave approaches. Cools and moistens midlevels after passage.
- CAM shows cooling and moistening at midlevels throughout wave.
- SP-CAM has constant intense drying at lowest levels convective drying is extremely powerful.

Composite Tropical Heating Profiles per Rain-rate

ммар

CMMAP MJO FOCUS THEME | FORT COLLINS | AUGUST 9, 2007

Colorado

Universit

- Distribution of waves and spatial structure in SP-CAM is ok.
- SP-CAM rains after column is much more moist than CAM
- SP-CAM and obs rain-tpw phase relationship similar
- SP-CAM heating in upper levels much more organized than CAM.
- Lowest level QI and Q2 in SP-CAM really intense

A Few Thoughts

- Results seem to indicate that Discharge-Recharge type mechanisms important for MJO initiation in the model.
- Convection occurring in a nearly saturated column releases a higher net heating.
- Physics need time to moisten before precipitation forms.
- Boundary layer drying and advection from subtropics just as important to wave organization as moistening.

