

Influence of topography and zonal SST gradient on the MJO

Observation: TBB from MTSAT-1R



Simulation: OLR from a 3.5-km grid run



Hiroaki Miura (FRCGC, JAMSTEC)



• Dynamics (grid-scale)	
Full compressible non-hydrostatic system	
2 nd -order centered scheme (Tomita et al. 2002)	
2 nd -order upwind biased scheme (Miura, MWR accepted)	
Icosahedral grid	
Lorenz grid	
Terrain-following coordinate	
mass, tracers, total energy (Satoh 2003)	
Slow mode - explicit scheme (RK2)	
Fast mode - Horizontal Explicit Vertical Implicit scheme	
 Physics (subgrid-scale) 	
Modified Mellor & Yamada \rightarrow Yamada and Mellor (1979)	
/Louis(1979), Uno et al.(1995)	
MSTRNX (Sekiguchi 2004)	
Grabowsky(1998)	
no	
no	
Mixed layer/bucket	



Initial conditions:

Interpolated from NCEP tropospheric analyses (6 hourly, 1.0x1.0 degree grids) Initial time:

14-km run: 2006-12-15 00:00:00

Boundary conditions:

Reynolds SST, Sea ICE (weekly data): These are linearly interpolated in time. ETOPO-5 topography, Matthews vegetation UGAMP ozone climatology (for AMPI2) Horizontal grid spacing: 14 km Vertical domain: 0 m ~ 38,000 m 40-levels (stretching grid)

Duration (current situation):

14-km run: 30 days





Could the 14-km run simulate the MJO? - 1

Precipitation: lat=5S-5N



Zonal wind: lat=0, p=975 hPa/z=249 m





Could the 14-km run simulate the MJO? - 2

Time change of zonal wind

NCEP ds083.2: p=975 hPa

14-km run: z=249 m

49 m NCEP ds083.2:lat=0

14-km run:lat=0

00Z23DEC200

2006-12-23





NCEP ds083.2 00223DEC2006 00223DEC2006

2006-12-31





00Z08JAN2007











EDE 30E 120E 150E 180 150 30E EDE 90E 120E 150E 25 - 20 - 15 - 10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 25 -25 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10

2007-01-08





Test-1: No time change of SST

FIXED: SST was fixed to data at 2006-12-13. CTL (Control)







water:

Test-1



 At least in the simulations, the SST feedback was not essential for the slow eastward movement of the MJO.



Test-2: Another initial date

1210: Initial conditions were given at 2006-12-10.

CTL (Control)

1210







• Convective activity over the maritime continents in 1210 was enhanced at the same timing as in CTL.

- However, eastward movement was faster in 1210 than in CTL.
- There were smaller amount of moisture over the maritime continents.





Test-3: Influence of topography

TOPO0: Topographic height was zero over the globe.

Time change of zonal wind: z=1570 m

CTL (control)



TOPO0







Time change of zonal wind: lat=-5

CTL (control)



TOPO0









10

zonal wind velocity [m/s]

15 20 25

Zonal wind: lat=0



Π

zonal wind velocity [m/s]

-20

TOPO0

249-m

15 20 25

Outgoing long-wave radiation: lat=10S-10N



-20 -15 -10 -5 0 - 5

-25







- The eastward moving signal (OLR) was separated into two signals in TOPO0.
- A fast propagating signal (~15 m/s) and a localized signal (at 120E).
- The fast propagating signal in CTL was apparently blocked by topography.
- Influence of mid-latitude signals seemed small, but it is not confirmed now.





lat=0

Test-4: Influence of land surface

OCEAN: TOPO0+surface condition was ocean over 30S-30N and 60E-180.

CTL (Control)

OCEAN





CTL (Control)



Next Generation Climate Model



• Land surface (surface heating) seemed to help fast organization of convection.





Test-5: Influence of zonal SST gradient

FLAT: SST over 30S-30N and 60E-180 was the same as SST of lon=180.

CTL (Control)

FLAT

TOPO0-FLAT



Zonal wind: lat=0





CTL (Control)

FLAT

TOPO0-FLAT



- Convection over the maritime continents was not maintained in FLAT cases.
 - Moisture passed through over the maritime continents.
 - Convection was enhanced around 60E in FLAT cases.

A eastward propagating signal in TOPO0-FLAT was likely a single wave.



STEP: SST was 1 K cooler than SST of FLAT over 30S-30N and 60E-110E.SST(110E<lon<120E) was interpolated zonally and linearly.</td>OCEANOCEAN-STEPOCEAN-FLAT

Surface temperature: 2007-01-01







Zonal wind: lat=0





OCEAN

OCEAN-STEP

OCEAN-FLAT



• Convection over the maritime continents was maintained in OCEAN-STEP, but it was not simulated in OCEAN-FLAT.

• Which is important?

• local equilibrium, meso-scale circulation, and lifting of warmer air over cooler air.





Summary

• Some sensitivity tests were done using a 14-km grid.

- Influence of SST variation
 - The SST feedback was not essential for the slow eastward movement of the MJO at least in this event.
- Influence of topography
 - There was a westerly signal which proceeded over the maritime continents at the end of 2006.
 - The westerly signal seemed to be blocked by topography.
 - And, the other westerly signals took over a role in the eastward movement of the MJO.
- Influence of zonal SST gradient
 - Convective activity over the maritime continents could not be maintained without zonal SST gradient.
 - Convection was forced around the region where zonal SST gradient was large.

