## **Dynamical Frameworks Talks**

- Joon-Hee Progress report on the development of a Q3D MMF  $\bullet$
- Celal Atmospheric dynamical cores  $\langle \rangle$
- Ross Recent developments with the icosahedral grid model
- Don Status of SUZI tests
- Dave Next steps

# **Next steps**

### Idealized squall lines, which have a line  $\mathbb{R}$ er two-dimensional phase of the more than be easily represented by the Q3D grid system if the system can of channels enables the CRM component to recognize the orientation reasonably well. It is less successful, however, for Fig. 28. With this network, the horizontal resolution of the ale will ga<del>t f</del>inid vidually and freely chosen anywhere between those of the What CMMAP models will get finished in the time available? Fig. 29 illustrates the history of numerical modeling of the

Atmospheric Research 102 (2011) 263–285



### $Multiplying of the moist-c$  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ Multiscale modeling of the moist-convective atmosphere  $-$  A review

A. Arakawa <sup>a</sup>, J.-H. Jung <sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>b</sup> Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA





Models don't get finished. They just get better.

# **Unique Elements**

- Super-parameterization
- Geodesic Z grid
- Unified System of equations
- Vector vorticity model
- Q3D super-parameterization
- Unified parameterization





### **The Z-Grid**

$$
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = -f\delta
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} = f\zeta + g\nabla^2 h
$$

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -H\delta
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n\zeta = \nabla^2 \psi \\
\delta = \nabla^2 \chi \\
\mathbf{V} = \nabla \chi + \mathbf{k} \times \nabla \psi\n\end{cases}
$$



We use a multigrid method to solve the 2D Poisson equations.



### No computational modes Excellent dispersion properties Direct prediction of the vertical component of the vorticity vector

### **The unified system of equations**

Arakawa and Konor (2009)

- Yields elastic solutions for large-scale quasi-hydrostatic motion and anelastic solutions for small-scale nonhydrostatic motion.
- Filters vertically propagating acoustic waves.
- Does not introduce approximations to the thermodynamic and momentum equations. The continuity equation uses the quasi-hydrostatic density.
- Does not need a basic (or mean) state.
- Conserves total energy.
- Covers a wide range of horizontal scales from turbulence to planetary scales so that it is suitable for the use in global cloud resolving models.

### **3D Poisson Equation for Pressure**

$$
\nabla_{H} \cdot \left( \rho_{qs} c_{p} \theta \nabla_{H} \overline{\delta \pi} \right)^{n+1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho_{qs} c_{p} \theta \frac{\partial \overline{\delta \pi}}{\partial z} \right)^{n+1} =
$$
  
- 
$$
\nabla_{H} \cdot \left( \rho_{qs} c_{p} \theta \nabla_{H} \pi_{qs} \right)^{n+1} + \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \rho_{qs}}{\partial t^{2}} \right)^{n+1} + G^{(n+1/2)}
$$

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{\hat{\delta}\pi}}{\partial z}\right)_s = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{\hat{\delta}\pi}}{\partial z}\right)_T = 0
$$

Neumann boundary conditions

Solver performance is poor with Neumann boundary conditions.





 $h = 0$  at the upper boundary.  $g_T$  is predicted for the top layer. Upper boundary condition is  $w = 0$ . **v**n is determined from streamfunction and velocity potential. Mean velocity is predicted.

h is predicted at interior interfaces. g is diagnosed from  $g_T$  and h at layers. w is solved from a 3D elliptic equation. **v**n is determined from h and w. i is predicted at every interface.

 $h = 0$  at the lower boundary (frictionless case). Lower boundary condition is  $w = 0$ .

## **This is a C grid.**



### **Geodesic VVM**

- Mismatch of degrees of freedom three horizontal vorticities per  $\langle \rangle$ mass point  $\rightarrow$  computational mode.
- Filter computational mode by predicting curl and div of horizontal vorticity, then recovering horizontal vorticity vector by solving 2D Poisson equations.
- **Pedict the curl of the horizontal vorticity vector at the cell centers.** *Palinstophy*.
- **Pedict the vertical component of the vorticity at the cell centers,** displaced vertically by half a level.
- Divergence of the horizontal vorticity is minus d/dz of the vertical  $\langle \rangle$ component of the vorticity.
- Divergence and curl can be used to reconstruct both normal and  $\bullet$ tangential components of the horizontal vorticity vector on cell walls.
- Strategy:  $\bullet$ 
	- $\triangle$  Predict curl(eta) and zeta
	- Diagnose horizontal vorticity vector
	- Compute w and horizontal winds as in original VVM  $\triangle$
	- 3D Poisson equation is for w, not p

## **Alex Goodman is working on this in a limited-area framework.**



- Unified system entails 3D Poisson equation.
- With current version of SUZI, the Poisson equation governs pressure, with Neumann boundary conditions.
- Geodesic VVM motivated by need for Dirichlet boundary conditions, which are used with solution for w.
- **•** Prediction of curl and div of horizontal vorticity vector motivated by need to avoid computational mode.



