

# Multiscale Cloud-Aerosol Interactions<sup>1010</sup> Operated by Ballelle Since 1965

Steven Ghan, Jiwen Fan, and Minghuai Wang: PNNL Yuan Wang: Jet Propulsion Laboratory Renyi Zhang: Texas A&M University Andrew Gettelman and Hugh Morrison: NCAR

Charles Charles

# Pacific Northwest

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

# **Outline**

- 1. Challenges
- 2. Approaches
- 3. Understanding
- 4. A Path Forward

# **1. A Multiscale Challenge**

- Cloud-aerosol interactions are intimately coupled with important cloud and aerosol processes.
- Improved representation of interactions depends on improved parameterizations of cloud microphysics and macrophysics.
- Creative methods are needed to represent processes across the wide range of spatial scales involved.



Bodenschatz et al., Science 2010





Aerosol particles Fe Si dust Dust Si dust  $\setminus$ Sn seasalt aged seasalt1 SS aged seasalt2 seasalt org sulf BB BB BB sulf sulf org1 sulf BB sulf/org sulf org2 sulf org3 org sulf1 pyridine orgsulf2 org org1 org2 31

Ice particles

# **Complexity of Microphysical Processes**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



For the whole Atmosphere!



2. Approaches

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Aerosol Microphysics
- Cloud Macrophysics
- Cloud Microphysics
- Interactions







Proudly Operated by Baffelle Since 1965



# Four-Mode Aerosol Model in CAM









# **Cloud Macrophysics**

Double Gaussian PDF



# **Cloud Microphysics**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Bin microphysics

- Explicit condensation
- Ice nucleation a source of uncertainty
- Bulk microphysics
  - Saturation adjustment
  - Prescribed size distribution for cloud water, rain, ice, snow, graupel
  - Temperature-dependent phase and hybrid saturation vapor pressure

### Double-moment microphysics

- Number and mass for each hydrometeor class
- Particle phase depends on ice nucleation
- Saturation adjustment for liquid
- Explicit vapor deposition to ice
- Precipitating species often diagnosed rather than predicted.

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

# Interactions

Aerosol effects on clouds

Cloud effects on aerosol

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Aerosol Effects on Clouds**

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Explicit prediction of supersaturation in resolved updrafts
- Parameterization of maximum supersaturation at cloud base

$$N_c = \int_0^\infty N_{act}(w) p(w) dw$$

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Cloud Effects on the Aerosol in the Multiscale Modeling Framework

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

ECPP: Explicit Clouds – Parameterized Pollutants

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

CRM cloud/precipitation statistics used for cloud processing of aerosols

# 3. Understanding the Coupled Cloud-Aerosol System

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

Baker and Charlson (1990) multiple equilibria and POCs

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Suppressing Natural Variability**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

Kooperman et al., JGR (2012)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Liquid Water Path response to Aerosol

Role of autoconversion

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

M. Wang et al. GRL (2002)

#### **Diagnostic vs Prognostic Pacific Northwest** NATIONAL LABORATORY Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965 A) LWP v. Ac/Au Ratio **Precipitation** 1000.0 **VOCALS** Obs 100.0 Ac/Au Ratio 10.0 Gettelman et al., in revision. 1.0 MG2-Mi2Ma1 MG2-Mi4Ma1 Run $\Delta R$ $\Delta DE$ $\Delta ACI$ $\Delta$ Albedo MG2-Mi2Ma2 MG1.5 -1.22 -0.09 -1.25-0.09CLUBB-Mi1Ma 0.1MG2-Mi2Ma1 100 -0.98 10 1000 -1.08 -0.07 -0.10 LWP $(g m^{-2})$ B) MG2 $\triangle$ CRE (Indirect) A) MG1.5 $\triangle$ CRE (Indirect) 10.00 8.000 6.000 4.000Wm-2 2.000 -2.00 -4.00 -6.00 -8.00 -10.0

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Improved Turbulence Reduces Liquid Water Path Response

- LES finds LWP reduction due to droplet number – sedimentation – entrainment feedback under dry conditions
- GCMs fail to produce this result
- CLUBB in a single column model succeeds

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

Guo et al., GRL (2011)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Diversity in Global Estimates of Cloud-Aerosol Interactions**

 $\Delta C = C \frac{d \ln C}{d \ln \tau} \frac{d \ln \tau}{d \ln N_d} \frac{d \ln N_d}{d \ln C C N} \frac{d \ln C C N}{d \ln E} \Delta \ln E$ 

 $\Delta C$ : aerosol-cloud interactionsC: clean-sky shortwave cloud forcing $\tau$ : cloud optical depth $N_d$ : cloud droplet numberCCN: cloud condensation nuclei concentrationE: emissions

$$\frac{d \ln \tau}{d \ln N_d} = \frac{\partial \ln \tau}{\partial \ln L} \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \ln N_d} + \frac{\partial \ln \tau}{\partial \ln r_e} \frac{\partial \ln r_e}{\partial \ln N_d}$$
$$\simeq \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \ln N_d} - \frac{\partial \ln r_e}{\partial \ln N_d}$$

*L*: liquid water path  $r_e$ : droplet effective radius

### Factorization of AeroCom Models Reveals Largest Contributors to Uncertainty

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

## Separating Contributions to Cloud Optical Depth Sensitivity to Droplet Number

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Effects on Deep Convection**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

Rosenfeld et al., Science (2008)

# **Complications**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

Khain et al., JAS, 2008

# Dependence on Humidity and Wind Shear Case 6 Dry Case 6 Humid

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

Fan et al. JGR (2009)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

Pacific Northwest

# Longer-Term Response of Precipitation National Labo

Precipitation rate is constrained by boundary conditions.

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

Khairoutdinov and Yang, ACP (2011)

# Cloud Anvil Expands with Increasing Aerosol

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

Driven by microphysics, not intensification

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

Jiwen Fan et al., PNAS (2013)

# **Observations Confirm Anvil Expansion**

TAR vs. AOD cloud fraction vs. AOD anvil height and optical depth 0.75 0.9 11.9 а 0.85 11.8 С 0.7 TAR - tower to anvil area ratio 0.8 11.7 0.65 unvil height 11.5 11.4 11.3 11.3 11.3 0.75 700 0.6 cloud fraction total cloud fraction Atlantic 0.7 0.55 anvil cloud fraction 0.65 0.5 0.6 0.45 0.55 0.4 0.5 11.1 0.35 0.45 11 0.4 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 10.9<sup>L</sup> 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 4.2 4.4 4.6 4.8 AOD AOD anvil optical depth 1.2 0.9 13.6 total cloud fraction 13.4 f 1.1 d е TAR - tower to anvil area ratio 0.8 anvil cloud fraction 13.2 1 [ 1 12.; 12.6 12.6 12.4 12.2 0.7 0.9 Pacific cloud fraction 700 0.8 0.6 0.7 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.4 12 0.3 0.3 11.8 0.2∟ 0 0.2 0.05 0.1 AOD 0.15 0.05 0.15 0.2 4.2 4.4 4.6 4.8 0.2 0.1 3.6 3.8 4 5 AOD anvil optical depth

Ilan Koren et al., ACP (2010)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Long Term Radiative Impact Driven by Anvil Expansion

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

Jiwen Fan et al., PNAS (2013)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Does the Multiscale Modeling Framework Produce Aerosol Invigoration of Clouds?

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

CRM cloud/precipitation statistics

# **Anthropogenic aerosol over Northwest Pacific**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Anthropogenic Aerosol Increases Droplet Number and Cloud water

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

Yuan Wang et al. PNAS (2014)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Convection Invigoration in the MMF**

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

PD-PI Convective Cloud (%) PD-PI Cloud Top Fraction (%) b) a) 200 200 400 400 Pressure (mb) Pressure (mb) 600 600 800 800 1000 1000 120 140 160 170 130 150 180 190 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 Longitude Longitude -1.00 -0.80 -0.60 -0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 -0.80 1.0 1.0 -1.00 -0.60 -0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.60 0.80 0.40

Yuan Wang et al. PNAS (2014)

# **Radiative and Hydrologic Response**

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

Yuan Wang et al. PNAS (2014)

#### How Much of this Signature is Due to Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Prodly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

CAM5 does not produce enhancement of high cloud by aerosol

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

3. Understanding the Coupled Cloud-Aerosol System

- Baker and Charlson (1990) multiple equilibria
- Role of autoconversion
- Prognostic vs diagnostic precipitation
- Diversity in global estimates of forcing
- Effects on deep convection
- Explicit saturation vs saturation adjustment

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Lessons from CRMs with Bulk and Bin Microphysics

- The saturation adjustment method in most bulk microphysics schemes overestimates condensation in clean conditions
- This biases the cloud sensitivity to increasing aerosol

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Latent heating bias drives bias in cloud updraft and hydrometeor

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

SBM-P-case Bulk-2M-P-case Bulk-OR-P-case response 12 10 10 Polluted <sup>(w)</sup> Height (km) Height (km) 8 8 8 6 6 6 4 0 n 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 Time (LST) Time (LST) Time (LST) Bulk-OR-C-case SBM-C-case Bulk-2M-C-case 12 12 10 10 Clean<sup>(IIII)</sup> Height (km) Height (km) 8 8 6 6 6 0 0 0 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 10 0.06 Time (LST) Time (LST) Time (LST) b) a) Polluted 8 0.05 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 Clean -WC (g/kg) Nc (#/cm<sup>3</sup>) Updraft Velocity (m/s) 6 0.04 4 0.03 2 0 0.02 SBM B-2M SBM **B-OR B-OR** B-2M 0.09 50 d) Yuan Wang et al., JGR (2013) C) Accumulated Rain (mm) 0.08 WC (g/kg) 40 0.07 0.06 30 0.05 0.04 20 SBM B-2M **B-OR** SBM B-2M **B-OR** 

# Bulk microphysics underestimates impact on anvil

- Underestimate is likely due to condensation bias for clean conditions
- Fixed shape of condensate particle size distributions could also contribute

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Fan et al., PNAS (2013)

# **Challenges**

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Is double-moment microphysics sufficient?
- Explicit supersaturation requires
  - 1 km grid for deep convection
  - 100 m grid for shallow convection and stratocumulus
- Interaction between clouds and large-scale spans scales 1 km – 10,000 km
  - Brute force GCRM computationally prohibitive
  - MMF represents a wide variety of scales more efficiently, but currently neglects direct interactions between cloud systems in adjacent grid cells

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Q3D MMF to allow cloud systems to propagate between grid cells
- Improve turbulence using higher order scheme
- Triple-moment cloud microphysics?
- ► Full aerosol lifecycle on the outer grid. ECPP
- Nudge large-scale winds toward analyses
- Multi-year simulations
- Focus on radiative impact, which drives global climate response

#### 8/6/14

# **Supersaturation**

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Option B
  - Explicit supersaturation for interior of deep convection
  - Subgrid parameterized supersaturation at cloud base or new cloud

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)