

Multiscale Cloud-Aerosol Interactions¹⁰¹⁰ Operated by Ballelle Since 1965

Steven Ghan, Jiwen Fan, and Minghuai Wang: PNNL Yuan Wang: Jet Propulsion Laboratory Renyi Zhang: Texas A&M University Andrew Gettelman and Hugh Morrison: NCAR

Charles Charles

Pacific Northwest

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Outline

- 1. Challenges
- 2. Approaches
- 3. Understanding
- 4. A Path Forward

1. A Multiscale Challenge

- Cloud-aerosol interactions are intimately coupled with important cloud and aerosol processes.
- Improved representation of interactions depends on improved parameterizations of cloud microphysics and macrophysics.
- Creative methods are needed to represent processes across the wide range of spatial scales involved.



Bodenschatz et al., Science 2010





Aerosol particles Fe Si dust Dust Si dust \setminus Sn seasalt aged seasalt1 SS aged seasalt2 seasalt org sulf BB BB BB sulf sulf org1 sulf BB sulf/org sulf org2 sulf org3 org sulf1 pyridine orgsulf2 org org1 org2 31

Ice particles

Complexity of Microphysical Processes



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



For the whole Atmosphere!



2. Approaches

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Aerosol Microphysics
- Cloud Macrophysics
- Cloud Microphysics
- Interactions







Proudly Operated by Baffelle Since 1965



Four-Mode Aerosol Model in CAM









Cloud Macrophysics

Double Gaussian PDF



Cloud Microphysics



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Bin microphysics

- Explicit condensation
- Ice nucleation a source of uncertainty
- Bulk microphysics
 - Saturation adjustment
 - Prescribed size distribution for cloud water, rain, ice, snow, graupel
 - Temperature-dependent phase and hybrid saturation vapor pressure

Double-moment microphysics

- Number and mass for each hydrometeor class
- Particle phase depends on ice nucleation
- Saturation adjustment for liquid
- Explicit vapor deposition to ice
- Precipitating species often diagnosed rather than predicted.





Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Interactions

Aerosol effects on clouds

Cloud effects on aerosol



Aerosol Effects on Clouds

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Explicit prediction of supersaturation in resolved updrafts
- Parameterization of maximum supersaturation at cloud base

$$N_c = \int_0^\infty N_{act}(w) p(w) dw$$



Cloud Effects on the Aerosol in the Multiscale Modeling Framework



ECPP: Explicit Clouds – Parameterized Pollutants



CRM cloud/precipitation statistics used for cloud processing of aerosols

3. Understanding the Coupled Cloud-Aerosol System



Baker and Charlson (1990) multiple equilibria and POCs



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Suppressing Natural Variability







Kooperman et al., JGR (2012)



Liquid Water Path response to Aerosol

Role of autoconversion



M. Wang et al. GRL (2002)

Diagnostic vs Prognostic Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965 A) LWP v. Ac/Au Ratio **Precipitation** 1000.0 **VOCALS** Obs 100.0 Ac/Au Ratio 10.0 Gettelman et al., in revision. 1.0 MG2-Mi2Ma1 MG2-Mi4Ma1 Run ΔR ΔDE ΔACI Δ Albedo MG2-Mi2Ma2 MG1.5 -1.22 -0.09 -1.25-0.09CLUBB-Mi1Ma 0.1MG2-Mi2Ma1 100 -0.98 10 1000 -1.08 -0.07 -0.10 LWP $(g m^{-2})$ B) MG2 \triangle CRE (Indirect) A) MG1.5 \triangle CRE (Indirect) 10.00 8.000 6.000 4.000Wm-2 2.000 -2.00 -4.00 -6.00 -8.00 -10.0



Improved Turbulence Reduces Liquid Water Path Response

- LES finds LWP reduction due to droplet number – sedimentation – entrainment feedback under dry conditions
- GCMs fail to produce this result
- CLUBB in a single column model succeeds



Guo et al., GRL (2011)



Diversity in Global Estimates of Cloud-Aerosol Interactions

 $\Delta C = C \frac{d \ln C}{d \ln \tau} \frac{d \ln \tau}{d \ln N_d} \frac{d \ln N_d}{d \ln C C N} \frac{d \ln C C N}{d \ln E} \Delta \ln E$

 ΔC : aerosol-cloud interactionsC: clean-sky shortwave cloud forcing τ : cloud optical depth N_d : cloud droplet numberCCN: cloud condensation nuclei concentrationE: emissions

$$\frac{d \ln \tau}{d \ln N_d} = \frac{\partial \ln \tau}{\partial \ln L} \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \ln N_d} + \frac{\partial \ln \tau}{\partial \ln r_e} \frac{\partial \ln r_e}{\partial \ln N_d}$$
$$\simeq \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \ln N_d} - \frac{\partial \ln r_e}{\partial \ln N_d}$$

L: liquid water path r_e : droplet effective radius

Factorization of AeroCom Models Reveals Largest Contributors to Uncertainty



Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Separating Contributions to Cloud Optical Depth Sensitivity to Droplet Number





Effects on Deep Convection



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Rosenfeld et al., Science (2008)

Complications



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Khain et al., JAS, 2008

Dependence on Humidity and Wind Shear Case 6 Dry Case 6 Humid



Fan et al. JGR (2009)



Pacific Northwest

Longer-Term Response of Precipitation National Labo

Precipitation rate is constrained by boundary conditions.



Khairoutdinov and Yang, ACP (2011)

Cloud Anvil Expands with Increasing Aerosol



Driven by microphysics, not intensification





Jiwen Fan et al., PNAS (2013)

Observations Confirm Anvil Expansion

TAR vs. AOD cloud fraction vs. AOD anvil height and optical depth 0.75 0.9 11.9 а 0.85 11.8 С 0.7 TAR - tower to anvil area ratio 0.8 11.7 0.65 unvil height 11.5 11.4 11.3 11.3 11.3 0.75 700 0.6 cloud fraction total cloud fraction Atlantic 0.7 0.55 anvil cloud fraction 0.65 0.5 0.6 0.45 0.55 0.4 0.5 11.1 0.35 0.45 11 0.4 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 10.9^L 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 4.2 4.4 4.6 4.8 AOD AOD anvil optical depth 1.2 0.9 13.6 total cloud fraction 13.4 f 1.1 d е TAR - tower to anvil area ratio 0.8 anvil cloud fraction 13.2 1 [1 12.; 12.6 12.6 12.4 12.2 0.7 0.9 Pacific cloud fraction 700 0.8 0.6 0.7 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.4 12 0.3 0.3 11.8 0.2∟ 0 0.2 0.05 0.1 AOD 0.15 0.05 0.15 0.2 4.2 4.4 4.6 4.8 0.2 0.1 3.6 3.8 4 5 AOD anvil optical depth

Ilan Koren et al., ACP (2010)





Long Term Radiative Impact Driven by Anvil Expansion



Jiwen Fan et al., PNAS (2013)



Does the Multiscale Modeling Framework Produce Aerosol Invigoration of Clouds?



CRM cloud/precipitation statistics

Anthropogenic aerosol over Northwest Pacific







Anthropogenic Aerosol Increases Droplet Number and Cloud water



Yuan Wang et al. PNAS (2014)



Convection Invigoration in the MMF



PD-PI Convective Cloud (%) PD-PI Cloud Top Fraction (%) b) a) 200 200 400 400 Pressure (mb) Pressure (mb) 600 600 800 800 1000 1000 120 140 160 170 130 150 180 190 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 Longitude Longitude -1.00 -0.80 -0.60 -0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 -0.80 1.0 1.0 -1.00 -0.60 -0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.60 0.80 0.40

Yuan Wang et al. PNAS (2014)

Radiative and Hydrologic Response





Yuan Wang et al. PNAS (2014)

How Much of this Signature is Due to Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Prodly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

CAM5 does not produce enhancement of high cloud by aerosol





3. Understanding the Coupled Cloud-Aerosol System

- Baker and Charlson (1990) multiple equilibria
- Role of autoconversion
- Prognostic vs diagnostic precipitation
- Diversity in global estimates of forcing
- Effects on deep convection
- Explicit saturation vs saturation adjustment



Lessons from CRMs with Bulk and Bin Microphysics

- The saturation adjustment method in most bulk microphysics schemes overestimates condensation in clean conditions
- This biases the cloud sensitivity to increasing aerosol



Latent heating bias drives bias in cloud updraft and hydrometeor



SBM-P-case Bulk-2M-P-case Bulk-OR-P-case response 12 10 10 Polluted ^(w) Height (km) Height (km) 8 8 8 6 6 6 4 0 n 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 Time (LST) Time (LST) Time (LST) Bulk-OR-C-case SBM-C-case Bulk-2M-C-case 12 12 10 10 Clean^(IIII) Height (km) Height (km) 8 8 6 6 6 0 0 0 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 0 5 10 15 20 10 0.06 Time (LST) Time (LST) Time (LST) b) a) Polluted 8 0.05 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 Clean -WC (g/kg) Nc (#/cm³) Updraft Velocity (m/s) 6 0.04 4 0.03 2 0 0.02 SBM B-2M SBM **B-OR B-OR** B-2M 0.09 50 d) Yuan Wang et al., JGR (2013) C) Accumulated Rain (mm) 0.08 WC (g/kg) 40 0.07 0.06 30 0.05 0.04 20 SBM B-2M **B-OR** SBM B-2M **B-OR**

Bulk microphysics underestimates impact on anvil

- Underestimate is likely due to condensation bias for clean conditions
- Fixed shape of condensate particle size distributions could also contribute



Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Fan et al., PNAS (2013)

Challenges



- Is double-moment microphysics sufficient?
- Explicit supersaturation requires
 - 1 km grid for deep convection
 - 100 m grid for shallow convection and stratocumulus
- Interaction between clouds and large-scale spans scales 1 km – 10,000 km
 - Brute force GCRM computationally prohibitive
 - MMF represents a wide variety of scales more efficiently, but currently neglects direct interactions between cloud systems in adjacent grid cells



- Q3D MMF to allow cloud systems to propagate between grid cells
- Improve turbulence using higher order scheme
- Triple-moment cloud microphysics?
- ► Full aerosol lifecycle on the outer grid. ECPP
- Nudge large-scale winds toward analyses
- Multi-year simulations
- Focus on radiative impact, which drives global climate response

8/6/14

Supersaturation



- Option B
 - Explicit supersaturation for interior of deep convection
 - Subgrid parameterized supersaturation at cloud base or new cloud

