Convective Self-Aggregation in SP-CAM: Implications for the MJO

Nathan Arnold Colorado State University CMMAP Team Meeting – Aug. 5, 2014

Aggregation in non-rotating CRMs

- Processes important to aggregation:
	- Radiation interacting with clouds and moisture
	- Surface fluxes
	- Shallow circulation advecting MSE up-gradient
- Running on f-plane produces cyclones (Bretherton et al 2005; Khairoutdinov and Emanuel 2013)

Is MJO aggregation on a beta-plane?

Very idealized setup: A non-rotating sphere powered by starlight

- Running SP-CAM3.0
	- SLD dycore, T42
	- CRM: 32x4km columns
- Make shortwave uniform: zenith angle = 50.5° , solar constant = $650.83W/m^2$ (following Bretherton et al 2005)
- SST uniform, fixed at 27°C
- No seasonal cycle or diurnal cycle.
- Initialized from uniform state (with random T perturbations)

Aggregation from a uniform state of rest

Aggregation from a uniform state of rest

Distribution is strongly bi-modal. Moist regions are

2000-4000km across.

TPW, day 120

Aggregation from a uniform state of rest

Distribution is strongly bi-modal.

Moist regions are 2000-4000km across.

Aggregation MSE Budget (days 5-20)

Max / Min TPW

60 50 40

30

kg/m2 30 20

MSE Variance Budget, binned by column MSE

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h'^2 = LW'h' + \dots
$$

- Product of budget term and MSE anomalies = measure of anomaly growth rate due to term.
- At each timestep, sort into 100 bins, ranked by column MSE.
- Yields growth rates in timemoisture space.

Red = amplifies anomaly Blue = weakens anomaly

Following Wing and Emanuel (2014)

Why does surface flux contribution reverse sign?

Decompose surface fluxes:

 $LHF = \rho_a C_E |\vec{v}|' \Delta q + \rho_a C_E |\vec{v}| \Delta q' + \rho_a C_E |\vec{v}|' \Delta q'$

Two effects:

- Windspeed initially correlated with MSE, then anti-correlated after day 20.
- Surface air imbalance becomes smaller in moist region, larger in dry.

Why does vertical advection contribution reverse?

Day 8:

Top-heavy circulation, reduces MSE anomalies.

Day 30: Dry-region subsidence more bottom heavy.

Shallow circulation provides up-gradient transport?

Similar to the mature-stage shallow circulation reported by Bretherton et al (2005) and others.

Does inducing a zonal asymmetry cause moist regions to propagate?

Artificial WISHE:
\n
$$
LHF = \rho_a C_E |\vec{v}| \Delta q
$$
\n
$$
|v| = \sqrt{(u-3)^2 + v^2}
$$
\nAdd a phantom wind seen only by surface flux

- Results in nice eastward movement (only 1m/s), broad spectral peak.
- Other processes required to capture correct zonal scale, faster propagation!

Zonal Wave Number

Restoring rotation: Model produces a full "MJO"

MJO's MSE budget similar to 30N other studies

- Supported by longwave.
- Damped by horizontal advection.
- Propagation by surface fluxes and advection.
- Surface fluxes unrealistic: Lack of gustiness Mean easterly winds

30

 $\mathbf 0$

30S

Conclusions

- Convection spontaneously aggregates in globally uniform simulations with SP-CAM3.0, reminiscent of aggregation in CRMs.
- MSE budget suggests the SP-CAM aggregation is driven by similar processes:
	- Initially diabatically-driven by radiation (LW) and surface fluxes.
	- Shallow circulation develops and supports aggregated state.
- Adding artificial WISHE produces a zonal asymmetry which causes the moist anomalies to propagate eastward.
- When rotation is added the model produces an MJO, with an MSE budget similar to the aggregated state.
- More work is needed to solidify the connection, but this is consistent with the MJO being a form of aggregation on a β-plane.