Madden-Julian Oscillation in the Superparameterized Community Atmosphere Model

Jim Benedict ~ Berkeley National Lab

Dave Randall & Randall Group, CSU Eric Maloney & Maloney Group, CSU Bill Collins, LBL

CMMAP Semiannual Meeting // Aug. 2015

Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO)



Source: Adapted from Benedict & Randall (2007)

Why the MJO?



- MJO has significant local and global impacts
- Most conventional climate models struggle to simulate the MJO
- Weather and climate predictability suffers without accurate MJO
- MJO: A testbed to expose flaws in our understanding of clouds

SPCAM & MJO: Timeline

1971: MJO discovered



1999: Multiscale modeling framework in climate science pioneered

2001: Superparameterization (SP) of CAM

2005: SPCAM found to produce realistic MJO signal

2008-2009: Many physical aspects of SPCAM's MJO found to closely resemble observations (prescribed SSTs)

2010-11: Further improvements to MJO simulation when SPCAM is coupled to simplified or dynamical ocean models

2013-14: SPCAM predicts more frequent and intense MJOs in a warming climate

2014-2015: Moisture advection and cloud-radiative feedbacks found to be critical to MJO existence in SPCAM

Superparameterization (SP)

- Parameterization: Statistical theory that attempts to explain the general behavior of an unresolved process (e.g., a cumulus cloud)
- SP: Replaces cloud-related parameterizations by embedding a cloud-resolving model into each climate model grid cell



Within each climate model grid cell...

...is a "curtain-shaped" high-resolution cloud-resolving model...

...that explicitly simulates clouds and precipitation.

Selected Findings



Lag Composite Cross-section of Zonal (West-East) Wind Anomalies



Selected Findings

Lag auto-correlation:



00_OBS 60E 90E 120E 150E 180 150W 30E 03_CAM5 60E 90E 120E 150E 180 150W 04_CAM5-ZM 60E 90E 120E 150E 180 150W 22a_SPCAM3 90E 120E 150E 180 150W 30E 60E Longitude Source: Jiang et al. (2015)

Compared to various versions of CAM (and most other conventional climate models), SPCAM produces more realistic MJO-related...

- Rainfall, wind, temperature, and • moisture patterns
- Longitudinal dependence of MJO ▶ patterns
- Vertical profiles of condensational heating
- **Moisture advection**
- Longwave radiation-precipitation feedbacks

Selected Findings



Source: Pritchard & Bretherton (2014)

(Left) Pritchard & Bretherton (2014): MJO propagation in SPCAM suffers when moisture advection associated with near-equatorial rotational flow is artificially damped...

►

b) Control SPCAM (32x1)



d) Micro-CRM (8x1)



- (Right) Suggests MJO in SPCAM is not critically reliant on mesoscale cloud organization
- Results support moisture mode instability theory

Primary Explanations

Why does the SPCAM excel at simulating the MJO?

(I) SPCAM produces humidity-rainfall relationship closer to observations



(2) SPCAM has improved cloud-radiation feedbacks







(3) SPCAM has more realistic mesoscale cloud organization and temporal "memory"

Adding Complexity: Slab Ocean

- Previous results employ the common practice of using prescribed SSTs that cannot respond to atmospheric forcing
- MJO simulations in SPCAM improve further when the atmosphere is coupled to even a highly simplified responsive ocean (slab ocean)
- Additional MJO improvements when fully dynamical ocean model employed (Charlotte's talk next)

Lag correlation: OLR index based at 120°E and zonal wind



Summary

- The Madden-Julian oscillation (MJO) has broad impacts on weather and climate
- Our understanding of several key aspects of the MJO is insufficient.
 Many current climate models cannot accurately simulate the MJO.
- Superparameterization (SP), pioneered and advanced by CMMAP members, can dramatically improve the simulated MJO

 SP allows <u>clouds and their environment</u> to interact in a more natural manner and permits more realistic cloud life cycles and organization

 Coupling the atmosphere to a more realistic ocean model further improves the MJO in the SP framework





