CMMAP Summer 2015 Team Meeting August 4, 2015, Fort Collins, Colorado

A Weak Temperature Gradient **Framework for MJO Diagnosis**

Minoru Chikira

Colorado State University

Introduction

- \triangleright Initiation and propagation of MJO came to be viewed from a viewpoint of moisture variation (Discharge-recharge mechanism and moisture mode theory).
- \triangleright A popular method for understanding the moisture variation is to use vertically integrated moist static energy and Gross Moist Stability (GMS).
- \triangleright We want to understand the moisture variation at specific levels such as the lower freetroposphere which is known to be particularly important for cumulus convection.
- \triangleright Chikira (2014) proposed a new approach which enables to understand the variation of moisture profile.

Experimental design

- \triangleright MIROC5
- \triangleright Horizontal resolution: 250km.
- Cumulus scheme: Chikira and Sugiyama (2010).
- Climatological SSTs

*Moisture anomaly is indicated by contours

Note that only (a) and (b) are displayed as anomalous forms. Units are given at each of \mathcal{A}

the panels. Contours in (c-h) indicate the specific humidity anomalies and the intervals are

 \mathcal{A} and (b) are displayed as anomalous forms. Units are given as anomalous forms. Units are given at each of \mathcal{A}

the panels. Contours in (c-h) indicate the specific humidity anomalies and the intervals are

Note that only (a) and (b) are displayed as anomalous forms. Units are given at each of \mathcal{O}

- Horizontal advection preferentially dries the western side of the convective area. Fig. 1. Time-height sections of the composited (a-b)anomalies, (c-d)tendencies, (ehon preferentially dries the western side of the convective area. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Fig. 1. Time-height sections of the composited (a-b)anomalies, (c-d)tendencies, (efull and the specific and α and α is the specific humidity. (generality by α is the specific humidity by α
- a is stent with the moisture mode theory. of the vertical advection and physical process amplifies the positive moisture and the series of the series and
In the vertical advection and physical process amplifies the positive moisture for the specific and specific the specific decomposition of the specific metering. $\frac{1}{2}$ the column processes. The left and right sides \mathcal{L}_1 anomaly, consistent with the moisture mode theory.
• These two effects in total propagate the moisture anomaly eastward. • The net effect of the vertical advection and physical process amplifies the positive moisture anomaly, consistent with the moisture mode theory.
anomaly, consistent with the moisture mode theory.
- Fects in total propagate the moisture anomaly eastward. the column process. The left and right sides are the ERA-Interim and model respectively. 0.1 **g** kg_{1.} Unit of abscribe is determined as the total tendency minus minu 0.1 *g kg*¹. Unit of abscissa is day. (g) and (h) were calculated as the total tendency minus

horizontal advection.

Understanding of moisture variation reduces into two problems

1. Why does the horizontal advection particularly dry the western side of the convective area?

Due to the horizontal advection by Rossby waves

2. Why does the effect of the vertical advection plus physical process amplify the positive moisture anomaly?

Method

Prognostic equation of moisture (omitting horizontal advection)

) : Mean values in environment outside cumuli

Environmental vertical velocity

$$
S_{hf} = -\langle \tilde{\omega} \rangle \frac{\partial q'}{\partial p} - (\tilde{\omega})' \frac{\partial \langle q \rangle}{\partial p} - (\tilde{\omega})' \frac{\partial q'}{\partial p}
$$

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \right)'$: Departure from $\langle \end{array} \rangle$

Prognostic equation of potential temperature

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = -\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial \langle q \rangle}{\partial p} + D_q - \tilde{C} + \tilde{R}_v + S_{df} + S_{hf}
$$
\n
$$
\langle \tilde{\omega} \rangle = \frac{1}{C_p \pi} \Big[L_v (\tilde{C} - \tilde{R}_v) + Q_r + \tilde{Q}_i + Q_{df} \Big] \Big(\frac{\partial \langle \theta \rangle}{\partial p} \Big)^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \simeq Q - 1 \Big(\tilde{C} - \tilde{R}_v \Big) + \sum_{\substack{Large-scale \\ \text{reevaporation} \\ \text{conclassification} \\ \text{condensation} \\ \text{evoportion}} \Big(Q_r + \tilde{Q}_i + Q_{df} \Big) + D_q + S_{df} + S_{hf}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \simeq Q - 1 \Big(\tilde{C} - \tilde{R}_v \Big) + \sum_{\substack{Large-scale \\ \text{reevaporation} \\ \text{relational} \\ \text{inflation}} \Big(Q_r + \tilde{Q}_i + Q_{df} \Big) + \sum_{\substack{H \text{reating by Determinent Vertical} \\ \text{inflation} \\ \text{inflation}} \Big(Q_r + \tilde{Q}_i \Big) + \sum_{\substack{H \text{reduced} \\ \text{inflation} \\ \text{inflation}} \Big(Q_r + \tilde{Q}_i \Big) + \sum_{\substack{H \text{reduced} \\ \text{inflation} \\ \text{inimation} \\ \text{invariant} \\ \text{in } \mathcal{R} \Big)
$$

Nondimensional parameter

$$
\alpha \equiv -\frac{L_v}{C_p \pi} \left(\frac{\partial \langle q \rangle}{\partial p} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \langle \theta \rangle}{\partial p} \right)^{-1} = -L_v \left(\frac{\partial \langle q \rangle}{\partial z} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \langle s \rangle}{\partial z} \right)^{-1} > 0 \qquad \text{ s: dry static energy}
$$

Vertical velocity is eliminated. It is clear what factors really moisten or dry the free-troposphere.

Composited anomalous moisture tendency by each term over the mature phase $(-5 \sim 5 \text{days})$

- Primary moistening factor: radiative warming anomaly
- Primary drying factor: Snow melting and reevaporation

Moistening in the middle and lower troposphere is enhanced with ...

(1) Larger radiative warming anomaly (by higher clouds)

(2) Smaller snow melting and reevaporation (by shallower clouds)

What type of clouds has the largest moistening effect?

BOUUIII-HEAVY HEAUIIIS INDEX Bottom-heavy heating Index (BI) was defined.

> $BI =$ $\int_0^{\infty} 50hPa$ $600hPa$ $Q_{cu}dp\biggm/$ \int^{850hPa} 100*hP a* $Q_{cu}dp$. Q_{cu} : Heating by cumulus scheme

FILITIQUIQUE COOMIS, HELLING MERING QUATECTOPOIQUOIT WEN Then radiative cooling, freezing/melting and reevaporation were binned against *BI* in the tropics (10S-10N).

BI over the mature phase of the model MJO is 0.51.

Vertical heating profile which maximizes the moistening of the free-troposphere is selected.

Over the mature phase, a large population of congestus clouds coexist with ϵ any estimation are shown is consistent with observed facts. Convertion deep convection, which is consistent with observed facts.

 \triangleright Alpha is large over land. The lower troposphere tends to be effectively dried by convection over land. This is unfavorable condition for the development of the MJO.

 \triangleright This is consitent with the observed fact that MJO tends to be suppressed over land.

Summary

- Positive moisture anomaly is amplified by vertical advection and physical process, which is consistent with the moisture mode theory.
- Horizontal advection mainly due to Rossby waves preferentially dries the western side of the convective area.
- These two processes in total propagate the moisture anomaly eastward.
- By focusing on environmental vertical velocity outside cumuli and applying the WTG balance, we obtain an equation where vertical velocity is eliminated.
- A new nondimensional parameter alpha appears as a controlling factor.
- The primary factor in moistening based on this equation is radiative warming anomaly.
- Snow melting and reevaporation significantly dries the middle and lower-troposphere.
- Moistening is maximized when a large population of congestus clouds coexists with deep convection.
- A heating profile which maximizes moistening is selected.

Back up

Wheeler-Kiladis diagram for OLR (Symmetric Component)

A thorough comparison with observation and reanalysis data was made in Chikira and Sugiyama (2013)

Analysis of moisture variation

Data for comparison

- \triangleright Outgoing longwave radiation observed by AVHRR (1989-2005)
- \triangleright ERA-Interim (1989-2005)

Composite method

Base points of the composites are the minimum values of OLR anomaly bandpassfiltered between 20-100days in period and 1-5 in wavenumber. Some criteria were applied to pick up clear MJO-like events.

Outline of cumulus scheme

(Chikira and Sugiyama 2010)

- \triangleright Based on an entraining-plume model
- \triangleright Lateral entrainment rate vertically varies depending on buoyancy and updraft velocity following Gregory (2001).
- \triangleright Updraft ensemble is spectrally represented following the spirit of the Arakawa-Schubert scheme. But cloud types are represented according to updraft velocity at cloud base.
- \triangleright Cloud base mass flux is determined by a method identical to the prognostic Arakawa-Schubert scheme (originally proposed by Xu 1993).
- \triangleright Never uses empirical triggering schemes
- \triangleright Implemented in MIROC5. The result was submitted to CMIP5

How to understand the effect of vertical advection plus physical process

Prognostic equation of moisture (omitting horizontal advection)

Composited anomalous values of each term over the mature phase $(-5 \sim 5 \text{ days})$

∂*q* ∂*t* $=(\alpha -1)(\tilde{C}-\tilde{R}_v)+$ α $L_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$ $(Q_r + \tilde{Q}_i + Q_{df}) + D_q + S_{df} + S_{hf}$ Large-scale condensation/ evaporation Reevaporation of precipitation Radiation Freezing/ Melting Heating by Detrainment Vertical vertical diffusion diffusion High-frequency waves

• Terms with alpha represent their effect through environmental vertical velocity.

e.g. Radiative warming anomaly induces upward anomalous vertical velocity, thereby moistens the atmosphere.

$$
\alpha - 1 = -\left(\frac{\partial \langle h \rangle}{\partial z}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \langle s \rangle}{\partial z}\right)^{-1} \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{in the lower-troposphere} \\ < 0 & \text{in the upper-troposphere} \end{cases}
$$

• In the lower-troposphere, large-scale evaporation (both clouds and precipitation) always dries the atmosphere, since the drying effect of downward vertical velocity induced by its cooling always overcomes its direct moistening effect.

FIG. 9. Composited specific humidity tendency anomalies $(g kg^{-1} day^{-1})$ by each of the terms in (4). Contour intervals are $0.06 g kg^{-1} day^{-1}$.

FIG. 12. (a): α averaged between day -30 and 20 in the model (solid line) and ERA-Interim (dashed line). (b-c): Time-height sections of α anomalies in the (b)model and (c)ERA-Interim. Contours in (b) and (c) indicate the specific humidity anomalies and the intervals are $0.1 g kg^{-1}$. α is calculated using the composited \tilde{q} and $\tilde{\theta}$.

