Outline

❖There will be two parts:

1) Development of the 3D poisson equation solver

2) Development of the advection based on grids associated

with the icosahedral grid

3D Poisson equation solver

❖Based on Arakawa, Jung and Konor

❖The equation we are interested is this:

$$
\nabla^2 w + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho w \right) \right] = r h s
$$

❖Re-write as a parabolic equation:

$$
\mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 w + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho w \right) \right] - r \hbar s
$$

The Discrete form of the equations

❖The discrete form of the equations looks like this:

$$
\mu \frac{w_{i,k+1/2}^{(\kappa+1)} - w_{i,k+1/2}^{(\kappa)}}{\delta t} = \frac{1}{A_i} \sum_{i'} \frac{w_{i+i',k+1/2}^{(\kappa)} - w_{i,k+1/2}^{(\kappa+1)}}{L_{i;i+i'}} \left[\frac{1}{\rho_{k+1} \delta z_{k+1}} \left(\rho_{k+3/2} w_{k+3/2}^{(\kappa+1)} - \rho_{k+1/2} w_{k+1/2}^{(\kappa+1)} \right) - \frac{1}{\rho_k \delta z_k} \left(\rho_{k+1/2} w_{k+1/2}^{(\kappa+1)} - \rho_{k-1/2} w_{k-1/2}^{(\kappa+1)} \right) \right] - r h s_{i,k+1/2}
$$

❖Re-arrange to form an implicit tridiagonal system in the vertical:

$$
\frac{\rho_{k-1/2}}{\delta z_{k+1/2}\rho_k \delta z_k} w_{k-1/2}^{(\kappa+1)} - \left[\frac{\mu}{\delta t} + \frac{1}{A_i} \sum_{i'} \frac{l_{i;i+i'}}{L_{i;i+i'}} + \frac{\rho_{k+1/2}}{\delta z_{k+1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{k+1} \delta z_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{\rho_k \delta z_k} \right) \right] w_{i,k+1/2}^{(\kappa+1)} + \frac{\rho_{k+3/2}}{\delta z_{k+1/2}\rho_{k+1} \delta z_{k+1}} w_{k+3/2}^{(\kappa+1)}
$$
\n
$$
= r h s_{i,k+1/2} - \frac{\mu}{\delta t} w_{i,k+1/2}^{(\kappa)} - \frac{1}{A_i} \sum_{i'} \frac{l_{i;i+i'}}{L_{i;i+i'}} w_{i+i',k+1/2}^{(\kappa)}
$$

3D Poisson equation solver

❖A simple analytic test function:

$$
w(\lambda, \varphi, z) = w_1(\lambda, \varphi) w_2(z)
$$

where

$$
w_1(\lambda, \varphi) = \sin 3\lambda \cos^4 \varphi
$$

$$
w_2(z) = \sin^8 \left(\pi \frac{z}{z_{\text{max}}}\right)
$$

- ❖40962 cells -- 40 vertical layers
- ❖Note that this problem is very tightly coupled in the vertical.
- ❖The inf-norm error looks like this:

Advection based on cell corners and edges

- ❖Recall that advection of quantities defined at cell centers uses hexagons and pentagons as control volumes.
- ❖Suppose the grid contains *N* cells:
	- ▶ 12 pentagons
	- ‣ *N*-12 hexagons
- ❖ In the VVM advected quantities will also be defined at cell corners and edges.

Control volumes for the corner grid

❖This is the dual of the hexagon/pentagon grid.

❖Suppose the grid contains *N* cells.

It is easy to show 2 (*N*-2) corners

Control volumes for the edge grid

❖Each grid point is associated with an edge of the icosahedral grid.

❖Suppose the grid contains *N* cells.

It is easy to show 3 (*N*-2) edges.

❖This is more difficult than the corner grid and more important to do well.

The 3rd-order (upstream-biased) advection

*p*up

*p*2

 p_3

*p*0

*p*1

❖Based on Hsu and Arakawa (1990)

$$
\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1} F_i = 0
$$

❖The incoming and outgoing fluxes depend on the direction of the wind.

$$
F_i = F_i^+ + F_i^-
$$

❖Suppose the wind directed from *p*⁰ toward p_1 , then, for example

$$
F_1^+ \equiv F_1^+ \left(m_{up}, m_0, m_1, \mathbf{v}_{up}, \mathbf{v}_1 \right) \text{ and } F_1^- \equiv 0
$$

where $\,F_{1}^{+}$ depends on the curvature of the upstream field. ❖The scheme can be positive-definite or not.

Pure Advection Test

❖Williamson *et al.* (1992)

$$
h(\lambda, \varphi) = \begin{cases} (h_0/2)(1 + \cos(\pi r/R)) & r < R \\ 0 & r \ge R \end{cases}
$$

where $h_0 = 1000$ m and R = a/3

❖The wind is prescribed:

$$
u = u_0 (\cos \varphi \cos \alpha + \sin \varphi \cos \lambda \sin \alpha)
$$

$$
v = -u_0 \sin \lambda \sin \alpha
$$

❖The value of å is selected to advect along a great circle path over four pentagons.

normalized RMS and inf-norm errors

