Effects of a Slab Ocean Model on MJO Structure in the SPCAM

Jim Benedict CMMAP Biannual Meeting 12 January 2010

Motivation and Research Questions

• In 19-yr SPCAM AMIP simulation, SSTs were not allowed to respond in a natural manner to surface fluxes

• Interactions between the atmospheric boundary layer and oceanic mixed layer can substantially impact MJO structure and propagation

• Krishnamurti et al. (1988), Zhang and McPhaden (1995), Zhang (1996), Jones and Weare (1996), Lau and Sui (1997), Hendon and Glick (1997), Shinoda et al. (1998), Yoneyama et al. (2008)

• How does MJO structure, intensity, and propagation change in the SPCAM if we allow tropical SSTs to respond to anomalous surface fluxes?

Data Sources

- **• Simulated data: Two 5-year time segments of SPCAM daily output**
	- **• 1 Sep 1999 31 Aug 2004**
	- **• First 5-year segment taken from SPCAM AMIP simulation ("CTL")**
	- **• Second 5-year segment taken from a new SPCAM simulation that is identical to the first except for the inclusion of a slab-ocean model (Waliser et al. 1999) used to predict SST anomalies that are coupled to the atmosphere ("SOM"):**

- **• Validation data:**
	- **• ECMWF-Interim Reanalysis (ERAI): dynamic and thermodynamic variables**
	- **• GPCP: rainfall**
	- **• NOAA satellites: OLR, SST**
	- **• TRMM Microwave Imager (TMI): Total column water**

Selected Results: The Basics

SOM–CTL, ANNUAL MEAN

180

120W

60W

 $30s +$

6OE

120E

- **• No significant differences of global energy budget between standard CAM, uncoupled SPCAM, and coupled SPCAM**
- **• Mean state differences are small** ➞ **we can infer that changes to MJO structure can be mainly attributed to effects of slab-ocean model**

Selected Results: Spectral Analysis

• SOM indicates more realistic distribution of low-frequency power, improved Kelvin and Rossby signals, and a larger east-west power ratio

Ratios of Eastward to Westward MJO Spectral Power

Selected Results: Lag Correlation 1

Contour interval: 0.1

• 20-100-day filtered signals

• SOM: Greater MJO signal coherence

• MJO convection remains organized over a larger space-time domain

• Couplet of leading easterlies-trailing westerlies

• improved relationship between convection and dynamics

Selected Results: Lag Correlation 2

- **• 20-100-day filtered signals**
- **• Substantially more realistic SST structure in SOM**
- **• Improved coupling of low-level zonal winds over a larger spatial domain in SOM**

OBS LAG DAY –5

- **• More robust convection that has propagated into the 90°E focus region in SOM**
- **• Weaker convection appears to develop in situ at 90°E in CTL**

LAG DAY 0

- **• Considerably broader longitudinal extent of convection in SOM**
- **• Noticeable weakening of convection along Equator in CTL**

LAG DAY +5

- **• Significantly improved longitudinal extent of convection and low-level winds in SOM**
- **• No significant convective or dynamic signal in West Pacific in CTL**

- **• SOM: Improved structure and intensity of convective heating in Indian Ocean region (as well as many other variables)**
- **• West Pacific MJO too strong in SOM**

Discussion: Mechanisms

- **• Timeseries of spatially averaged regression values**
	- **• Index: standardized rainfall at 90°E**
	- **• Spatial average: 10°x10° box, centered on 90°E and Equator**
	- **• Unified y-axis (for comparison)**

• CTL: Before Day –10, no significant relationships between most low-level variables

• Improved phasing of low-level variables moisture convergence, insolation, SSTs promotes coherent MJO eastward propagation and more realistic convective intensity for Indian Ocean MJO events in the SOM

Discussion: Model Biases

• Out-of-phase relationship of boundary layer moisture between SPCAM and observations

q Budget, 90°E

q Budget, 150°E

Discussion: Model Biases

- **• Notes on the low-level moisture bias:**
	- **• Not related to air-sea coupling**
	- **• Errors are largest in the lower boundary layer**
	- **• Not related to large-scale advection**
	- **• SPCAM boundary layer moisture too sensitive to surface evaporation**
	- **• Processes (on CRM scale or smaller) that regulate boundary layer moisture are too weak...**
- **• Hypothesis: Underrepresentation of shallow cumuli in the SPCAM leads to unrealistically weak vertical moisture fluxes and excessive accumulation of water vapor within the boundary layer**

Conclusions

- **• Analysis of 19 years of data from an uncoupled SPCAM simulation reveals an improved MJO representation compared to the standard CAM**
	- **• more realistic structures of winds, moisture, heating, and advection in the composite MJO**
- **• Compared to the uncoupled SPCAM, the MJO in the coupled SPCAM is more realistic**
	- **• improved spectral and physical MJO structures**
	- **improved signal coherence and eastward propagation → better phasing between low-level variables, including moisture convergence**

• Model deficiencies need to be addressed

- **• Overly intense MJO in West Pacific** ➞ **mean state errors, lack of CMT**
- **• Boundary layer moist bias** ➞ **underrepresented shallow cumuli**

Items to Consider...

- **• Longer simulation of the coupled SPCAM (> 5 years), more MJO events**
- **• Investigate the quantitative impact of air-sea coupling by re-running the SPCAM forced by the resulting SSTs from the coupled simulation**
- **• Extend the influence of the slab-ocean model to higher latitudes and examine:**
	- **• changes to the "Great Red Spot"**
	- **• impacts on marine stratus clouds**
- **• CRM resolution, CRM parameterizations, shallow cumuli**