Role of CRM on MJO simulation of SPCAM SOM

P. Mukhopadhyay^{1,} Duane Walisèn² Xianan Xiang², Baijun Tian² & B. N. Goswami^ı

> $^{\text{\tiny{1}}}$ I.I.T.M., Pune, India 2JPL, NASA

Eric Maloney, James BenedictCSU, Fort Collins

> Marat KhairoutdinovSUNY, Stony Brook

Questions that we will be addressing are as follows:

- •• MMF shows relatively good MJO features <u>(Kim et </u> al, 2009, JOC). Why is it so?
- The MJO is known to have Multi-Scale Organization-Are the MMF's subgrid scale modes related to its resolved multi-scale organization?
- What sort of subgrid scale mode of variability are present in MMF?

Aims and Objective

 \checkmark The idea is to take the CRM cloud field, constructed from combining liquid + ice clouds and doing an EOF on the CRM vertical profiles to see if the dominant vertical structures are evident.

 \checkmark Then to look, where in time and space the projections on these modes are greatest to see how the MMF is producing organized convection and how these relate to mean patterns as well as variability such as the ITCZ, monsoon or MJO phases, Kelvin wave etc.

 \checkmark Does the model develop shallow heating, ahead of congestus followed by deep as studies based on ovservations (Maloney and Hartman, 1998; Myers and Waliser, 2003; Kiladis et al. 2005; Tian et al. 2006; Benedict and Randall, 2007) suggest that atmospheric preconditioning for MJO development and propagation happens with lower level moisture anomaly east of the convection anomaly which eventually helps in reducing the moist stability and preceded by warm dry (suppressed) moisture anomaly that increases the stability and the propagation takes place towards the east (Tian et al. 2009)

Latitude-height cross section of Zonal averaged (over 40-100E) QC&QI for JJA 2002-2004Deep cloud associated with strong low level maxima typically represent the monsoon flow across the equator

Latitude-height cross section of Zonal averaged (over 200- 240E) QC&QI for JJA 2002-2004 (east of dateline; distinctly different than the other two region; dominant shallow regime)

EEOF MODE1 SPCAM SOM OLE WIN (2001-2004)

PC Mode1 of cloud is leading the MJO. It suggests that atmospheric preconditioning with warm and moist lower level is created by the CRM that eventually helps the GCM to reproduce the MJO realistically

PC3 being the deeper mode coincides exactly with the strongest SPCAM OLR anomaly

PC1 (blue) leads (in spatial correlation) followed by PC2 (black) and PC3 (red)

Summary of analyses of QC&QI of CRM of SPCAM SOM

- • The study brings out the role of CRM in simulating MJO in super parameterized approach.
- • The analyses reveal that the CRMs are able to produce the statistics of transition from shallow to deeper modes of clouds realistically in space and time and this feed back essentially enables the GCM (SPCAM) in super parameterized frame work to show the MJO evolution and propagation in a realistic manner.

Space-time structure of summer monsoon Intraseasonal Oscillations (MISO) in the SP-CAM

Neena Joseph Mani , Bidyut B. Goswami, P. Mukhopadhyay, Duane Waliser, Jim Benedict, Marat Khairoutdinov, Eric Maloney and B. N. Goswami

Motivation & Objectives

• In recent times it is found (Kim et al. 2009, Jim and Randal 2009) that super-parameterized CAM (SPCAM) is able to better simulatethe dynamical structure and ^phases of MJO in space and time•From IPCC AR4 projection (Randal et al. 2007), it is found that climate models have major problem in simulating the precipitationand its variability over tropical Indian Ocean region. It also brought out the models limitation in producing the mean annual cycleover Indian region for example.

•In view of the above, it will be interesting to study whether theSPCAM frame work is able to capture the important features ofmonsoon circulation namely the seasonal cycle, onset and withdrawal, the intra seasonal oscillations (ISOs) of different modes (e. g. 10- 30, 30-60) and northward propagation.

•This analyses will help to bring out the strength or weaknesses ofthe simulation for the mentioned aspects which then further can beimproved.

DATA

SPCAM (2.8°×2.8°) Precipitation, U850,U200,V850 & V200 for the period 01Jun1986-30Sept 2003 (18 years)

GPCP (1°×1°) precipitation data for the period 01Jun1997-30Sep2007 (11 years)

IMD (1°×1°) daily gridded precipitation data 01 Jun 1986 -30Sep 2003 (18 years) (for making Central India precipitation index)

NCEP (2.5°× 2.5°) U850,U200,V850 & V200 data for the period 01Jun1986-30Sept 2003 (18 years)

Computation of Climatological mean JJAS

Daily climatology of precipitation was computed from the SPCAM model output (1986-2003)and from GPCP data (1997-2007)and averaged for the JJAS monsoon season.

Climatology of 850mb and 200mb wind were computed in a similar manner.

Climatological annual cycle of precipitation over 70E-90E, mm/da GPCP SP-CAM

SPCAM produces ^a good annual cycle of monsoon rainfall, well capturing the onset andwithdrawal phases. The two locations of the monsoon trough can be clearly separated out inthe rainfall distribution , with the oceanic trough at 5S and continental trough at 18N complying with the observations. The overestimation of rainfall over the continental troughlocation is the only drawback.

Space-time spectra of Precipitation during JJAS
(East-west propagation) (0E-360E,20S-30N)

Space–time spectra of precipitationwere examined to realize the east-westpropagating features. Even though themodel generates maximum amplitude ateastward propagating wave number 1, itmisrepresents the *periodicity at ⁶⁰ day* instead of the *observed 40day* wavenumber
spcam: Precipitation JJAS
external comparations on the methodicity. Observations show the westward propagating power to beconcentrated at wave number 4 with ^a 60day periodicity while in SPCAM spacetime spectra the westward propagatingpower is distributed over the -1 to -3range of wave numbers at 60 dayperiodicity.

Space-time spectra of Precipitation during JJAS
(north-south propagation) (60E-135E,20S-30) **GPCP** (north-south propagation) (60E-135E,20S-30N)

North-South Space –time spectra of precipitation were 1.7 examined to look at the northward propagation of $1.6¹$ ITCZ over the Indian monsoon domain. 1.5

Observations show the maximum northward $1\,4$ *propagating power to be concentrated at wave* $1.3²$ *number 1 with ^a 40 day periodicity and some* 1.2° *power is also seen at wave number 2 with 10-* 11 *15day periodicity, corresponding to the quasi* **biweekly** mode (QBM), while the *SPCAM* spacetime spectra shows maximum *northward* 1.7 *propagating power at wave number 1 with 20*1.6 *day period* misrepresenting the QBM. The 1.5 secondary maxima is seen as ^a *stationary mode* 1.3 *with 60 day periodicity*, implying the failure of 1.2 the model in capturing the northward 1.1 propagation.

Latitude-Time propagation of 30-80 day filtered regressed rainfall averaged over 60E to 100E

Coherent northward propagation of ITCZ is not visible in SPCAM regressed anomalies,instead in situ oscillations are seen in the two favored locations of ITCZ with strongerOscillations over the land mass.

Summary of SPCAM analyses

- \bullet Model reasonably simulates the annual cycle with respect to GPCP observation.
- \bullet Model does not simulate the Quasi biweekly mode correctly, instead it produces a very strong mode of 20 day periodicity.
- \bullet Spatial structure of this 20 day mode is much larger than the observed 10-20 day mode
- \bullet The observed 40 day mode is misrepresented as a 60 day mode in the model simulation.
- \bullet The 30-80 day filtered anomalies have a smaller spatial scale than in observations.
- \bullet Northward propagation is poor. The large precipitation bias over the northern location of monsoon trough may be suppressing the precipitation over the oceanic trough. Hence the 30-80day mode appears stationary.

US CLIVAR MJO Working Group, 2006-09

- 1) Develop MJO WG Web Site (www.usclivar.org/mjo.php)
- 2) Diagnostics for GCMS. (J. Climate, 2009)
- 3) Application of Diagnostics (J. Climate, In Press)
- 4) Operational MJO Forecast Metric (BAMS, Submitted)
- 5) MJO Workshop, Irvine, 2007 (BAMS Mtg Sum, 2008)

US CLIVAR MJO Working Group, 2006-09

- 1) Develop MJO WG Web Site (www.usclivar.org/mjo.php)
- 2) Diagnostics for GCMS. (J. Climate, 2009)
- 3) Application of Diagnostics (J. Climate, In Press)
- 4) Operational MJO Forecast Metric (BAMS, Submitted)
- 5) MJO Workshop, Irvine, 2007 (BAMS Mtg Sum, 2008)

Proposal for WCRP/WWRP Task Force

- Develop process-oriented diagnostics/metrics to assess/guide physics and take advantage of more modern data (e.g. A-Train)
- Explore MJO multi-scale interactions and with emphasis on vertical structure and diabatic processes.
- •Expand MJO forecast metrics: e.g., boreal summer & ensemble development.

.... the MJO Task Force should be formed within the framework of the joint WWRP/THORPEX/WCRP YOTC activity, and report to the JSC-WWRP, ICSC THORPEX and the SSG-CLIVAR."

.... the MJO Task Force should be formed within the framework of the joint WWRP/THORPEX/WCRP YOTC activity, and report to the JSC-WWRP, ICSC THORPEX and the SSG-CLIVAR."

WCRP- CLIVAR /WWRP - YOTC MJO Task Force

Eric Maloney Colorado State University Xiouhua Fu University of Hawaii Chidong Zhang University of Miami Daehyun Kim Seoul National University Hai Lin ! !Environment Canada

Duane Waliser (co-chair) Jet Propulsion Laboratory/Caltech Matthew Wheeler (co-chair) Centre for Australian Weather & Climate Research Ken Sperber Program for Climate Model Diagnostics and Intercomparison Harry Hendon Centre for Australian Weather and Climate Research John Gottschalck National Centers for Environmental Prediction Richard Neale National Center for Atmospheric Research Augustin Vintzileos National Centers for Environmental Prediction Frederick Vitart European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasting Dave Raymond New Mexico Institute of Mining & Technology Masaki Satoh Frontier Research Center for Global Change

MJO Task Force & Related/Other Activities

- MJO Task Force Meeting Busan, Korea, June 2010
- AAMP Meeting $-$ "" ""
- Workshop "" "" [Metrics, Forecasts, Boreal Summer, Hindcasts]
- Prediction/Predictability/Process Hindcast Experiment [PI: B. Wang] \sim 17 models, 20 years, 45-day hindcast every \sim 5 days
- YOTC Transpose AMIP and other High-Res Experiments Multi-model (e.g., CMIP5, CAM, SPCAM), 5-day forecast every YOTC day; also NICAM, GEOS, NCM for selected events
- CPT Proposal Submission [PI: E. Maloney]
- DYNAMO (MJO Initiation/Indian Ocean) Submission [PI: C. Zhang]
- Pending NRC Report on ISI Prediction/Predictability

Courtesy F. Vitart Promising gains from continued model improvements.

MJO FORECAST SKILL FROM ECMWF

Courtesy F. Vitart

MJO FORECAST SKILL FROM ECMWF

Courtesy F. Vitart

MJO FORECAST SKILL FROM ABOM

- POAMA hindcasts: 10 members from 1st of month for 25 years.
- Correlation &RMS for RMM1 and RMM2 (combined)
- Generally better skill from Dynamical Model!

