The Role of Air-Sea Coupling in the Intraseasonal Oscillation

Charlotte DeMott Cristiana Stan Mark Branson

ISO = intraseasonal oscillation ISV = intraseasonal variability intraseasonal = 20~100 day periodicity

• Many studies have demonstrated improved ISV when coupling is introduced.

- Many studies have demonstrated improved ISV when coupling is introduced.
- Several modeling studies suggest that coupling is more important in the Indian Ocean than the West Pacific Ocean.

- Many studies have demonstrated improved ISV when coupling is introduced.
- Several modeling studies suggest that coupling is more important in the Indian Ocean than the West Pacific Ocean.
- The addition of coupling can improve (slow down) the phase of the ISO.

- Many studies have demonstrated improved ISV when coupling is introduced.
- Several modeling studies suggest that coupling is more important in the Indian Ocean than the West Pacific Ocean.
- The addition of coupling can improve (slow down) the phase of the ISO.
- In the absence of coupling, high frequency SSTs can improve ISV.

Questions

- How well-suited are our models to studying the role of SST anomalies on the ISO?
- By what mechanism(s) do SST anomalies improve the ISO?

A Typical Experimental Setup GPCP/NCEP U (lines) b) precip (color) SP-CCSM SP-CAM U (lines) C) precip (color) a) precip (color) U (lines) 120E 180 120W 120E 180 120E 60W 120W 60W 180 120W 60W



20

lag (days)

coupled vs. uncoupled simulations with the same model are analyzed in terms of their ISO simulation

A Typical Experimental Setup



coupled vs. uncoupled simulations with the same model are analyzed in terms of their ISO simulation

Caveat to the Typical Experimental Setup



Coupled models often result in cold SST biases, leading to **different mean climate states** than their uncoupled counterparts.

Does improved ISV arise from coupling, or from mean state changes?

A More Rigorous Experimental Setup



To what extent do those SST anomalies in turn affect atmospheric convection?

To what extent do those SST anomalies in turn affect atmospheric convection?

Are the feedbacks critical to the ISO? Or are they of secondary importance?

To what extent do those SST anomalies in turn affect atmospheric convection?

Are the feedbacks critical to the ISO? Or are they of secondary importance?

Is two-way coupling the critical process? Or does the ISO simply need variable SSTs?

To what extent do those SST anomalies in turn affect atmospheric convection?

Are the feedbacks critical to the ISO? Or are they of secondary importance?

Is two-way coupling the critical process? Or does the ISO simply need variable SSTs?

Can we trust models to answer these questions?

Our Experimental Setup



How do the following effect the simulated ISO?

- Super parameterization (cloud treatment)
- Mean state
- Coupling
- Intraseasonally varying SST anomalies











Do we have adequate models to address this question?

Do we have adequate models to address this question?

Can we develop a framework to help us decide?

Do we have adequate models to address this question?

Can we develop a framework to help us decide?

Propagation and periodicity are not sufficient to assess the role of coupling. The resulting intraseasonal ISO should also exhibit spatial structure and evolution similar to that in observations.

So what exactly is the role of air-sea coupling for the ISO? What are the mechanisms?



• How does convective treatment influence the results?

Rainfall & SST in Coupled and "5d" simulations



Rainfall & SST in Coupled and "5d" simulations



200

-0.2 -0.4 -0.6 -0.8

0



400

days

600

Rainfall & SST in Coupled and "5d" simulations



days

Rainfall in Coupled and "5d" simulations

at intraseasonal timescales, SST anomalies do not appear to temporally influence precipitation anomalies in SPCAM3. 20-100 day Rainfall correlation: SPCCSM3 v SPCAM3_5d



20-100 day Rainfall correlation: SPCAM3 5d v CAM3 5d



-0.9 -0.7 -0.5 -0.3 -0.1 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.9





IO and WPac Rain-SST lag-correlation coupled 5d · monthly IO Rainfall vs. SST w/SP WPac Rainfall vs. SST w/SP 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 S P -0.0 -0.0 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4 -0.6 -0.6 -15 -10 -5 10 15 -15 -5 10 15 0 5 0 5 -10 lag (days) lag (days) IO Rainfall vs. SST w/o SP WPac Rainfall vs. SST w/o SP 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 no SP 0.2 0.2 -0.0 -0.0 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4 -0.6 -0.6 -15 -5 -15 -5 -10 5 10 15 -10 5 10 15 0 0 lag (days) lag (days)



Observations: Equatorial WPac Precip'-SST' cross spectra



$$\phi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n}S_{xy}(i)\right)$$

OBS SPCCSM3 CCSM3 -15 -15 -15 -30 -30 -30 SPCAM3_5d CAM3_5d Coh² contour interval = 0.1 -15 -15 -30 -30 SPCAM3 mon CAM3 mon -15 -15 -30 -30 -0.5 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 -0.4 0.4 0.5 SST lags phase offset (% of cycle) SST leads

20-100 day Rainfall-SST anomaly Coh² and Phase Angle