Assessing the Central US summer mesoscale convection signal in observations and across several versions of SP(CAM) using a Wheeler-Hendon type index

#### **CMMAP Winter Team Meeting 2013**



January 22, 2013

Gabe Kooperman, Mike Pritchard, and Richard Somerville



# Unanswered questions about the propagating summer central US convection in the MMF.



### Roadmap

- Why a Wheeler and Hendon type index for central US mesoscale convection?
- Developing the index from observations NASA LaRC/ GEWEX SRB longwave cloud forcing.
- Applying the index across several versions of SP(CAM).
- Evaluating the timing and signature of composite events.
- Compositing variables of interest by phase of propagation.

## Why a Wheeler and Hendon type index for central US mesoscale convection?

- I. Organized convection in the tropics and midlatitudes is a major source of variability.
- 2. And a major challenge for many GCMs.
- 3. The signal has a clear zonal propagation in both regions.
- 4. An EOF based index has been a useful tool for evaluating the MJO.



## Finding the region and signal of interest.

#### Standard Deviation of Longwave Cloud Forcing

**Unfiltered SRB Data** 

Bandpassed Filtered (12-48 Hour)







# High amplitude index is clear in observations and MMF3.5, known to have propagation.



# Diurnal timing of the index is evident in observations and MMF3.5, and <u>MMF3.0/5.0</u>.

LWCF Diurnal Composite (Index > 0.2)



### Phase diagram of central US convection.

Developing event criteria: I. Index  $\geq$  0.2.

- 2. At least 6 consecutive times.
- 3. Spans the longitude range.



### Conclusions

I. Does it exist in other versions of SPCAM?

- 2. How realistic is the signal beyond just existing?
- Broader analysis shows the (SPCAM3.5 / CAM3.5) version pair was an extreme case bracketing a subtle spectrum of MCC signal existence.
- Emergence of nocturnal US convection is a robust effect of SP across 3 simulation pairs (not a fluke of a particular model configuration).
- The signal is sensitive. MCC index statistics in SPCAM3.5 show events are too extreme and frequent. In contrast, SPCAM5.0 captures but underestimates the signal.