Using Cloud-Resolving Models for Parameterization Development

Steven K. Krueger University of Utah

I6th CMMAP Team Meeting January 7-9, 2014

- What is are CRMs and why do we need them?
 - Range of scales diagram
 - (Define Cloud system resolving model)
- History of CRMs
 - Yamasaki:
 - Yamasaki, M. (1975). A numerical experiment of the interaction between cumulus convection and larger scale motion. Pap. Meteorol. Geophys. 26, 63-91.
 - Soong and Tao
 - Krueger and AA
- The UCLA CRM
 - Purpose: "cloud GCM"
 - Initial Design:
 - Origin was from Semtner's course
 - Vorticity-stream function: Arakawa Jacobian
 - Full 3d-moment turbulence closure (refer to Randall talk)
 - Warm-rain microphysics
 - Prescribed radiative heating

- Further development at U of Utah
 - Ice-phase microphysics (with Qiang Fu)
 - Interactive radiation (Qiang Fu)
- Applications to increased understanding of cloud systems
 - (list and select one or two for elaboration)
 - <u>Two-dimensional cloud-resolving modeling of the atmospheric effects of Arctic leads based upon</u> midwinter conditions at the Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic Ocean ...
- Applications to parameterization development
 - (list and select several for elaboration)
 - UP
 - Luo studies
 - AA studies with his students
 - Jung & Arakawa

- Super parameterization and CSRMs
 - MMF uses embedded coarse-grid 2D CRMs for parameterization.
 - Shifted the focus to improving parameterization of physics that is SGS in CRMs.
 - This led to a return to turbulence parameterization in such CRMs, but now using the advances described by DR, and also extensive use of LESs for benchmarks.
 - Bogenschutz and Krueger: brief description of our approach.

- Giga LES
 - Is allowing us to examine the structures of deep convective cloud systems in unprecedented detail.
 - Resolves turbulence so produces accurate convectivescale vertical velocities.
 - Allows focus on microphysical processes which are still not resolved.
 - Also supports development of cumulus parameterizations that predict updraft vertical velocities and fractional area, not just updraft mass flux (e.g. UP).





The First CSRMs

気象研究所研究報告 第26巻 第3号 63-91頁 昭和50年9月 Papers in Meteorology and Geophysics Vol. 26, No. 3, pp, 63-91. September 1975

A Numerical Experiment of the Interaction between Cumulus Convection and Larger-scale Motion

by

Masanori Yamasaki

Meteorological Research Institute, Tokyo (Received May 20, 1975)



Fig. 4. Mixing ratio of rainwater (dashed lines) and mixing ratio of cloud water (solid lines) at 120 and 300 min. The dashed lines are drawn for 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 g/kg.

giga LES



small scale structure and microphysics



Growth of Cloud Droplets in Warm Clouds





Small-scale variability in Cumulus mediocris



overlay is for illustration only

Small-scale variability in Cumulus fractus



photo by Jan Paegle



LES Limitations

- The premise of LES is that only the large eddies need to be resolved.
- LES is appropriate if the important smallscale processes can be parameterized.
- Many cloud processes are subgrid-scale, yet can't (yet) be adequately parameterized.

Subgrid-scale Cloud Processes

- Small-scale finite-rate **mixing** of clear and cloudy air determines evaporative cooling rate and affects buoyancy and cloud dynamics.
- Small-scale variability of water vapor due to entrainment and mixing broadens droplet size distribution (DSD) and increases droplet collision rates.
- Small-scale turbulence increases droplet collision rates.



LES with 5 m isotropic grid



Scales of Atmospheric Turbulence



1993

Scales of Atmospheric Turbulence



2010

- Bridging the LES-DNS gap
 - Difficulty depends on process of interest.
 - Higher resolution or improved conventional parameterization may work for some processes.
 - For investigating how turbulence affects cloud droplet growth, multi-scale modeling (super-parameterization) is a promising solution.



llest scale of turbulence is the Kelmerser

The smallest scale of turbulence is the Kolmogorov scale:

$$\eta \equiv (\nu^3/\epsilon)^{1/4}$$

For $\epsilon=10^{-2}~{\rm m}^2~{\rm s}^{-3}$ and $\nu=1.5\times10^{-5}~{\rm m}^2~{\rm s}^{-1}$, $\eta=0.7~{\rm mm}.$

LES with 1D subgrid-scale model





CPU times relative to LES with DX=10



Summary

- Reducing the dimensionality is an established method.
- Removes or reduces the need for SGS parameterizations.
- It is very well suited for high-Reynolds number turbulent flows when small-scale mixing processes are important.

Advection is modeled as a sequence of *triplet maps* that preserve desired advection properties, even in 1D



The triplet map is implemented numerically as a permutation of fluid cells (or on an adaptive mesh)





EMPM with droplets and entrainment





128 cells ~ 10 cm (10 droplets)

1024 cells ~ 1 m (100 droplets)



time (s)

What's ahead...

- Resolving only the large turbulent eddies is not sufficient to realistically represent the interactions between microphysics and turbulence.
- Models that resolve the smaller (and sometimes the smallest) turbulent eddies are necessary.
- It is not yet possible to simulate all scales of cloud turbulence in 3D, so other approaches are currently being used and developed.