### **Double Gaussians without tears**

Thanks to Grant Firl

#### Assumed distributions with HOC



### **Closures Found**

1) Closures for the effects of higher moments that are not predicted, e.g., as mentioned above, the fourth moments in a third-order closure model.

2) Closures for moments involving the pressure, which occur in the equations for moments that involve velocity components.

3) Closures for dissipation rates, which are especially important in the equations governing variances.

4) Closures to determine SGS phase changes (e.g., Sommeria and Deardorff, 1977; Mellor, 1977) and other microphysical processes (e.g., Larson et al., 2005), as well as radiative heating and cooling.



### **Which assumed PDF?**

Gaussian? No third moments.

Two delta functions? Not realistic enough.

Two Gaussians? Good compromise, suggested by Lewellen and Yoh (1993) and implemented by Golaz et al., 2002.



We use trivariate double Gaussians, for *w*, *T*, and *q*.

### **Equations for the moments**

*Revised January 8, 2014 10:57 PM* 1

$$
\overline{x} = (1 - a)\overline{x_1} + a\overline{x_2}
$$

$$
\overline{x'y'} = a(1-a)\overline{\delta x} \overline{\delta y} + (1-a)(\overline{x'y'})_1 + a(\overline{x'y'})_2
$$

$$
\overline{x'y'z'} = a(1-a)(1-2a)\delta \overline{x}\delta \overline{y}\delta \overline{z} \n+ a(1-a)\delta \overline{x} \left[ \left( \overline{y'z'} \right)_2 - \left( \overline{y'z'} \right)_1 \right] + \delta \overline{y} \left[ \left( \overline{x'z'} \right)_2 - \left( \overline{x'z'} \right)_1 \right] + \delta \overline{z} \left[ \left( \overline{x'y'} \right)_2 - \left( \overline{x'y'} \right)_1 \right]
$$

$$
\delta x \equiv \overline{x_2} - \overline{x_1}
$$

$$
\overline{x} = (1 - a)\overline{x_1} + a\overline{x_2}
$$

### **Which moments are important?**

Needed in HOC equations, directly, *or*

Needed to determine the parameters of the PDF

Fluxes are needed in the first moment equations.

$$
\overline{w'\theta'} \qquad \overline{w'q'}
$$

The third moments measure the "distance" between the Gaussians.

$$
\overline{w'w'w'} \quad \overline{q'q'q'} \quad \overline{\theta_i'\theta_i'}
$$



The third moments measure the "distance" between the Gaussians.

#### **Simpler case of two delta functions**  $\delta x \equiv x_2 - x_1$

$$
\overline{x} = (1 - a)\overline{x_1} + a\overline{x_2}
$$

$$
\overline{(x')^2} = a(1-a)(\delta \overline{x})^2
$$

$$
\overline{(x')^3} = a(1-a)(1-2a)(\delta \overline{x})^3
$$

$$
\delta x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{(x')^2}{a(1-a)}}
$$

$$
\overline{(x')^3} = \pm a(1-a)(1-2a)\left[\frac{\overline{(x')^2}}{a(1-a)}\right]^{3/2}
$$

$$
\pm S_x = \frac{1 - 2a}{\sqrt{a(1 - a)}}
$$

$$
S_x \equiv \frac{\overline{(x')^3}}{\left[\overline{(x')^2}\right]^{3/2}}
$$

$$
a = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{S_x}{\sqrt{4 + S_x^2}} \right)
$$

$$
\delta x = \pm \sqrt{(x')^2 (4 + S_x^2)}
$$

*Revised January 6, 2014 3:23 PM* 12

$$
a, -1
$$
\n
$$
\overline{w}_{1}, \overline{w}_{2}, \overline{T}_{1}, \overline{T}_{2}, \overline{q}_{1}, \overline{q}_{2}, -6
$$
\n
$$
\overline{(w')_{1}^{2}}, \overline{(w')_{2}^{2}}, \overline{(T')_{1}^{2}}, \overline{(T')_{2}^{2}}, \overline{(q')_{1}^{2}}, \overline{(q')_{2}^{2}}, -6
$$
\n
$$
(\overline{w'T'}_{1}, \overline{(w'T')}_{2}, \overline{(w'q')}_{1}, \overline{(w'q')}_{2}, \overline{(q'T')}_{1}, \overline{(q'T')}_{2}, -6
$$
\nTotal: 19

 $C_{\rm eff}$  general trivariate joint PDF has exactly nineteen first, second, and third nineteen first, second, and third nineteen first, second, and third nine teen first, second, and third nine teen first, second, and third



 $C_{\rm eff}$  general trivariate joint PDF has exactly nineteen first, second, and third nineteen first, second, and third nineteen first, second, and third nine teen first, second, and third nine teen first, second, and third





second, and third moments, namely second, and third moments, namely *Coincidentally*, a general trivariate joint PDF has exactly nineteen first,

moments, namely

$$
\overline{w}, \overline{T}, \overline{q} -3
$$

$$
\overline{w'w'}, \overline{TT'}, \overline{q'q'}-3
$$

$$
\overline{w'T'}, \overline{w'q'}, \overline{T'q'} -3
$$

$$
\overline{w'w'w'},\ \overline{TT'T'},\ \overline{q'q'q'}-3
$$

#### *w* ′*T* ′ *q* ′ -1

*w* ′ *w* ′*T* ′ , *w* ′ *w* ′ *q* ′ , *T* ′*T* ′ *w* ′ , *T* ′*T* ′ *q* ′ , *q* ′ *q* ′ *w* ′ , *q* ′ *q* ′*T* ′ -6

Total: 19

Suppose that we have an LES from which we can diagnose the nineteen moments listed in





Let's calculate the 19 moments from an LES, and solve for the 19 parameters of the double Gaussian.

#### **Cool!**

Let's calculate the 19 moments from an LES, and solve for the 19 parameters of the double Gaussian.



#### **Cool!**

Let's calculate the 19 moments from an LES, and solve for the 19 parameters of the double Gaussian.



Can't do it. Along the way, there is a singular matrix.

#### **Cool!**

Let's calculate the 19 moments from an LES, and solve for the 19 parameters of the double Gaussian.



Can't do it. Along the way, there is a singular matrix.

Bummer.

• It is useful to combine HOC with assumed distributions.

- It is useful to combine HOC with assumed distributions.
- A trivariate double Gaussian is a good assumed distribution.

- It is useful to combine HOC with assumed distributions.
- A trivariate double Gaussian is a good assumed distribution.
- For determining the parameters of the distribution, the third moments are more important than the second moments.

- It is useful to combine HOC with assumed distributions.
- A trivariate double Gaussian is a good assumed distribution.
- For determining the parameters of the distribution, the third moments are more important than the second moments.
- The second moments associated with the individual Gaussians should be (and must be) parameterized. This is where phenomenology can come in.