Wayne Schubert

20th CMMAP Team Meeting January 5, 2016 Boulder, CO *Dept. of Atmospheric Science*

- 1. Historical Background for Boundary Layer Flows \star Lessons learned from the Arctic!
	- \star The Ekman Layer
- 2. The Boundary Layer Under Tropical Cyclones
	- ★ Motivation from Hurricane Hugo
	- \star Lessons learned from a slab BL model
- 3. The Boundary Layer Under the ITCZ
	- \star Motivation from satellite images and the MJO
	- \star Lessons learned from a slab BL model

- 1. Historical Background for Boundary Layer Flows
	- ! Lessons learned from the Arctic!
	- \star The Ekman Layer
- 2. The Boundary Layer Under Tropical Cyclones
	- ★ Motivation from Hurricane Hugo
	- \star Lessons learned from a slab BL model
- 3. The Boundary Layer Under the ITCZ
	- \star Motivation from satellite images and the MJO
	- \star Lessons learned from a slab BL model

Discovery of Boundary Layer Flows

- **★ F. Nansen organized the** *Fram* **expedition in** 1893-96 to try to reach the North Pole
	- Observed that ice drifted 20-40° to the right of the surface wind direction
	- Explained this fact as a consequence of the Earth's rotation
	- Made the qualitative prediction that the current vector would spiral clockwise with increasing depth Fridtjof Nansen

 $(1861 - 1930)$

Discovery of Boundary Layer Flows

- **★ F. Nansen organized the** *Fram* **expedition in** 1893-96 to try to reach the North Pole
- ★ Nansen suggested to V. Bjerknes (father of J. Bjerknes) that this ice drift phenomenon should be examined more formally

Vilhelm Bjerknes $(1862 - 1951)$

Discovery of Boundary Layer Flows

- **★ F. Nansen organized the** *Fram* **expedition in** 1893-96 to try to reach the North Pole
- \star Nansen suggested to V. Bjerknes (father of J. Bjerknes) that this ice drift phenomenon should be examined more formally
- \star Bjerknes assigned the problem to a young mathematical physicist, V. W. Ekman
- \star Ekman solved the problem and presented his results in 1902 as his doctoral thesis
- \star This phenomenon became known as the Ekman spiral

V. Walfrid Ekman (1874 – 1954)

The Planned Route:

• Based on the ill-fated voyage of the USS Jeannette during 1879 – 1881

The Actual Route: 1893 – 1896 (from http://www.fram.nl/)

The *Fram* ("Forward"):

- 3-masted schooner that was unusually wide and shallow
- Rounded, thick, well-insulated wood hull
- Designed to be pushed upward, not crushed, by the ice
- 12 hand-selected crew members and provisions for 5 years
- Windmill to generate electricity for lighting and a good library!
- Preserved and on display in the Fram Museum in Oslo, Norway

July – Sept. 1893 The *Fram* sails eastward from Norway along the Siberian coast

The *Fram* enters the ice pack and drifts with the ice

The Ice Pack as a Fluid

(MODIS image from http://robertscribbler.com/tag/alaska/)

Mar. 1895 – Jun. 1896 Nansen and H. Johansen leave the *Fram* and try to reach the North Pole on foot, eventually retreating to Franz Josef Land

Nansen and Johansen leave the *Fram* for the North Pole with 3 sleds, 2 kayaks, and 28 dogs

Photograph by Fridtjof Nansen, National Library of Norway Picture Collection

Jun. – Aug. 1896 Nansen and Johansen stumble upon a British explorer and sail back to Norway with him

On the same day Nansen & Johansen reached Norway, the *Fram* breaks free from the ice and sails back to Norway

\star Norwegian scientist

- Zoologist by training
- Helped establish modern theories of neurology
- Developed a deep interest in ice and oceanography

- \star Norwegian scientist
- \star Polar adventurer and explorer
	- Led first team to cross the interior of Greenland (1888)
	- Organized the first *Fram* expedition to the Arctic (1893 1896)

The difficult is what takes a little time; the impossible is what takes a little longer.

(Fridtjof Nansen)

izquotes.com

- \star Norwegian scientist
- \star Polar adventurer and explorer
- \star Statesman, diplomat, and humanitarian
	- A delegate to the League of Nations from Norway
	- Appointed the League's High Commissioner for Refugees in 1921
	- Developed the "Nansen Passport" to provide stateless refugees with an ID document so they could enter other countries

- \star Norwegian scientist
- \star Polar adventurer and explorer
- \star Statesman, diplomat, and humanitarian
- \star Awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1922
	- For his great humanitarian efforts to assist the many victims and refugees of World War I and related conflicts

Ekman Layer and Ekman Spiral

- \star Nansen found that the ice and the ship drifted 20° to 40° to the right of the surface wind
- \star The Ekman layer = surface layer of ocean affected by the movement of winddriven surface waters
- * Frictional effect: surface wind drags along surface water layer, and each water layer below that is dragged along by the water layer above it (but more slowly) until this effect diminishes to nothing
- \star Coriolis effect: surface water layer is deflected to the right of the surface wind, and each water layer below that is deflected to the right of the water layer above it (deflection is to the left in the S.H.)

Ekman Pumping and Suction

 \star Atmospheric vorticies over the ocean drive a vertical circulation called Ekman Pumping (for cyclones) and Ekman Suction (for anticyclones)

Ekman pumping under cyclonic flow

 \star These Ekman layer processes also apply to other frictional boundary layers, such as the atmospheric boundary layer

Ekman Pumping and Shock-Like Structures in the Tropical Boundary Layer

- \star Ekman pumping can create shock-like structures in the tropical atmospheric boundary layer under certain tropical events, such as
	- **Tropical cyclones**
	- The ITCZ
	- \star Our research group has utilized slab boundary layer models (SBLMs) to investigate such structures

- 1. Historical Background for Boundary Layer Flows
	- \star Lessons learned from the Arctic!
	- \star The Ekman Layer
- 2. The Boundary Layer Under Tropical Cyclones
	- ★ Motivation from Hurricane Hugo
	- * Lessons learned from a slab BL model
- 3. The Boundary Layer Under the ITCZ
	- \star Motivation from satellite images and the MJO
	- \star Lessons learned from a slab BL model

Flight Data for Hurricane Hugo

Inviscid Burgers' Equation

*** Model for nonlinear wave propagation:**

 \star Example initial condition:

 \star Results:

- Solution steepens and then becomes multi-valued
- Characteristics intersect and cross
- Not physically meaningful

 $\boldsymbol{\partial u}$

 $\overline{\partial t}$

 $+u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0$

(from http://www.eng.fsu.edu/~dommelen/pdes/style_a/burgers.html)

Viscous Burgers' Equation

 \star Now include a viscosity term:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}
$$

\star Get more physically meaningful results:

- Solution steepens, but does not go multi-valued
- In the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ solution develops a jump-discontinuity or "shock"
- Characteristics run into this shock and disappear

(from http://www.eng.fsu.edu/~dommelen/pdes/style_a/burgers.html)

Slab Boundary Layer Model for Tropical Cyclones (SBLM-TC)

SBLM-TC Governing Equations

 \star 2 predictive equations for the horizontal winds in the slab:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + w (1 - \alpha) \frac{u}{h} = \left(f + \frac{v + v_{\rm gr}}{r} \right) (v - v_{\rm gr}) - c_{\rm b} U \frac{u}{h} + K \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial (r u)}{r \partial r} \right)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - w (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{v - v_{\rm gr}}{h} \right) = - \left(f + \frac{v}{r} \right) u - c_{\rm b} U \frac{v}{h} + K \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial (r v)}{r \partial r} \right)
$$

- \star Note the embedded Burgers' equation
- \star Pressure gradient force:

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \left(f + v_{\rm gr}/r\right)v_{\rm gr}
$$

Diagnostic equations for vertical velocity at top of slab:

$$
w = -h \frac{\partial (ru)}{r \partial r}
$$
 and

$$
\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \ \ w \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if} \ \ w < 0 \end{cases}
$$

 \star Diagnostic equation for wind speed at 10 m height in slab:

$$
U=0.78\left(u^2+v^2\right)^{1/2}
$$

Drag Coefficient

$$
c_{_{\rm D}}(U)=10^{-3}\begin{cases} 2.70\,U^{-1}+0.142+0.0764\,U & \text{if}\;\; U\le 25 \\[0.4em] 2.16+0.5406\,\{1-\exp[-(U-25)/7.5]\} & \text{if}\;\; U\ge 25\end{cases}
$$

"Drag Factor"

$$
c_{_{\rm D}}(U)U=10^{-3}\begin{cases} 2.70+0.142\,U+0.0764\,U^2 & \text{if}\ \, U\leq 25 \\[0.4em] (2.16+0.5406\left\{1-\exp[-(U-25)/7.5]\right\})\,U & \text{if}\ \, U\geq 25\end{cases}
$$

SBLM-TC Experimental Details

Results from C3 Experiment

Shock-like steady state quickly develops

Results from C3 Experiment

Summary of SBLM-TC Experiments

Summary of SBLM-TC Experiments

Results vs. Depth (h) for C3 Experiment $\begin{bmatrix} -30 \\ 60 \\ 50 \end{bmatrix}$

 \star Depth of the slab affects strength and location of the shock-like structure

Results vs. Coefficient of Diffusion (K) for C3 Experiment

 \star Shock-like structure grows in strength as the horizontal diffusion is reduced

What About a Double Eyewall?

* Experiment 1: Adding a secondary vorticity maximum

Double Eyewall Experiment 1 Results Results

 \star A second outer shock can form and significantly affect the original inner shock

What About a Double Eyewall?

 \star Exp. 2: Like Exp. 1, but keep average vorticity the same

Double Eyewall Experiment 2 Results Results

 \star An outer shock can be similar to or even greater than the inner shock

Lessons Learned from SBLM-TC

- \star The slab boundary layer equations (without diffusion) constitute a hyperbolic system, allowing for the possibility of shock formation.
- \star Horizontal diffusion is needed to prevent a true shock from forming. Otherwise, standard numerical procedures break down and fail.
- \star With horizontal diffusion, shock-like structures form in the boundary layer of tropical cyclones, but high spatial resolution is needed to capture these structures well.
- \star These shock-like structures can help explain how and where single and double eyewalls form and why the maximum tangential winds can occur in the boundary layer.

- 1. Historical Background for Boundary Layer Flows \star Lessons learned from the Arctic!
	- \star The Ekman Layer
- 2. The Boundary Layer Under Tropical Cyclones
	- ★ Motivation from Hurricane Hugo
	- \star Lessons learned from a slab BL model
- 3. The Boundary Layer Under the ITCZ
	- * Motivation from satellite images and the MJO
	- Lessons learned from a slab BL model

What About the ITCZ?

*** NOAA GOES VIS/IR** Blended Image:

Nov. 24, 2010 00:00 UTC

 \star Do boundary layer shocks play a role in the narrowness of the ITCZ?

(from NASA GSFC GOES Project website)

What About the ITCZ?

★ NOAA GOES VIS/IR Blended Image:

> Mar. 11, 2015 21:00 UTC

 \star Does the boundary layer play a role in the determining if the ITCZ is single or double?

(from NASA GSFC GOES Project website)

Slab Boundary Layer Model for the ITCZ (SBLM-ITCZ)

SBLM-ITZC Governing Equations

 \star 2 predictive equations for the horizontal winds in the slab:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - w (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{u - u_g}{h} \right) = \beta y v - c_{D} U \frac{u}{h} + K \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w (1 - \alpha) \frac{v}{h} = -\beta y u - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - c_{D} U \frac{v}{h} + K \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}
$$

 \star Note the embedded Burgers' equation

***** Pressure gradient force:

$$
\overline{-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}=\beta y u_{\rm g}}
$$

Diagnostic equations for vertical velocity at top of slab:

$$
w = -h \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}
$$
 and

$$
\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \ \ w \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if} \ \ w < 0 \end{cases}
$$

 \star Diagnostic equation for wind speed at 10 m height in slab:

$$
U=0.78\left(u^2+v^2\right)^{1/2}
$$

Local Ekman Theory

 \star Slab version on the equatorial β -plane:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{c_D U}{h} & -\beta y \\
\beta y & \frac{c_D U}{h}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nu \\
v\n\end{pmatrix}\n=\n\begin{pmatrix}\n0 \\
-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

 \star Three force balance:

- 1. Coriolis force
- 2. Surface drag force
- 3. Pressure gradient force (*y*-direction only)

 \star Note:

- Pressure field $p(y)$ is specified
- Solve for $u(y)$ and $v(y)$
- Requires iteration because $U = (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2}$

SBLM-ITZC Experimental Details

 \star Domain:

$$
-5000 \leq y \leq 5000\ \,\mathrm{km}
$$

 \star Resolution:

 \star Parameters:

$$
\Delta y = 100 \text{ m} \quad (100,001 \text{ gridpts})
$$

$$
\Delta t = 5 \text{ s}
$$

$$
h = 500 \text{ m}
$$

$$
K=500~\mathrm{m}^2\mathrm{s}^{-1}
$$

 \star 3 Experiments:

SBLM-ITCZ Experiments

(E) Easterly Geostrophic Flow

(W) Westerly **Geostrophic** Flow

(R) Rossby Gyre Case

Motivation from the MJO

Simulated MJO (from Schubert and Masarik 2006)

• Low pressure on both sides of the equator

Easterly Geostrophic Flow Results

Numerical slab results: Steady-state solutions at t = 120 h

Trajectories for Easterly Case

Zonal Wind **Meridional Wind** Vertical Velocity

 $\overline{4}$

Shock-like feature develops on the equator

Westerly Geostrophic Flow Results

Numerical slab results: Steady-state solutions at t = 120 h

Trajectories for Westerly Case

Zonal Wind **Meridional Wind** Vertical Velocity

Trajectories converge, but shock-like structures do not form

Results for Rossby Gyre Case

Numerical slab results: Steady-state solutions at t = 120 h

Trajectories for Rossby Gyre Case

Zonal Wind **Meridional Wind** Vertical Velocity

Trajectories strongly converge, but shock-like structures still do not form

Lessons Learned from SBLM-ITCZ

- \star The slab boundary layer model for the ITCZ (without diffusion) is also a hyperbolic system, allowing for the possibility of shock formation.
- \star True shock-like structures causing strong Ekman pumping form only with easterly flow (low pressure along the equator).
- \star Westerly flow (high pressure along the equator) leads to narrow, enhanced Ekman pumping (but not a singularity) and a double ITCZ.

Lessons Still to be Learned

 \star Why don't we observe a narrow ITCZ at the equator when there is easterly flow?

Even with strong Ekman pumping at the equator, the associated oceanic upwelling produces cold equatorial surface water, which inhibits deep convection.

(from http://www-das.uwyo.edu/~geerts/cwx/notes/chap11/equat_upwel.html)

Lessons Still to be Learned

- \star Why don't we observe a narrow ITCZ at the equator when there is easterly flow?
	- 1. Even with strong Ekman pumping at the equator, the associated oceanic upwelling produces cold equatorial surface water, which inhibits deep convection.
	- 2. Ekman pumping at the equator does not penetrate vertically, since the Rossby depth is small (Rossby length is large).

Lessons Still to be Learned

- \star Why don't we observe a narrow ITCZ at the equator when there is easterly flow?
	- 1. Even with strong Ekman pumping at the equator, the associated oceanic upwelling produces cold equatorial surface water, which inhibits deep convection.
	- 2. Ekman pumping at the equator does not penetrate vertically, since the Rossby depth is small (Rossby length is large).
	- 3. Any other ideas?

Acknowledgements

- \star Current and former members of the Schubert Research Group
- * National Science Foundation
- \star Hewlett Packard

References for More Info

Gonzalez, A.O., C.J. Slocum, R.K. Taft, and W.H. Schubert, 2015: Dynamics of the ITCZ boundary layer. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **Early Online Release**.

Jenkins, A.D., and J.A.T. Bye, 2006: Some aspects of the work of V.W. Ekman. *Polar Record*, **42**, 15 – 22.

Schubert, W.H., and M.T. Masarik, 2006: Potential vorticity aspects of the MJO. *Dyn. Atmos. Oceans*, **42**, 127 – 151.

Williams, G.J., R.K. Taft, B.D. McNoldy, and W.H. Schubert, 2013: Shock-like structures in the tropical cyclone boundary layer. *J. Adv. Model Earth. Syst.*, **5**, 338 – 353.