## IMPLIED OCEAN HEAT TRANSPORTS IN THE STANDARD AND SUPER-PARAMETERIZED CAM 3.0 IMPLIED OCEAN HEAT TRANSPORTS IN THE STANDARD AND SUPER-PARAMETERIZED CAM 3.0

Charlotte A. DeMott and David A. Randall *Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523 USA*

• WHY: 1) Evaluate AGCM readiness for coupling to an ocean model, 2) Identify errors in AGCM



## Model-Observations LWP differences<br>
Model-Observations IWP differences<br>
Model-Observations IWP differences DJF MMF-SSMI LWF  $175 - 125 - 75 - 25$  25 75 125 175 225 27 • Boreal summer north Pacific liquid water path similar in CAM and MMF, so cannot explain SW biases. storm tracks. • Note high JJA LWP values in Indian Ocean for CAM. In the MMF, high LWP is shifted northward to the Asian monsoon region.

# IJA CAM-NOAA IWP

DJF CAM-NOAA IWP DJE MME-NOAA IWP



• Compared to AMSU-derived IWP values, each model produces too much ice, ard of winter hemisphere

### Wind, Evaporation, and Latent Heat Fluxes

Near-surface winds for models and observations, JJA (ms<sup>-1</sup>)



NCEP JJA sfc wind speed **STARES** 



• CAM and MMF Asian monsoon-region LH biases (red boxes, left-most panel) arise from excessive winds. Biases are greater in MMF.



Clouds: Global view

• HOW: Integrate oceanic net surface energy budget from South Pole to North Pole.

• WHAT: 1) CAM 3.0, 2) MMF - CAM 3.0 with cloud resolving model in place of cumulus parameterization; 14-year AMIP runs



• MMF JJA nearsurface winds are most excessive in the tropical Indian and Pacific Oceans.





#### JJA Surface relative humidity biases (%)



• MMF LH biases in sub-tropical trade wind regions result from low RH biases and slightly excessive winds.

Somali Jet winds, W.Pac rainfall, and their