# Icosahedral grid

- This grid provides approximately homogeneous and isotropic resolution over the sphere.
- There are 12 pentagons and N-12 hexagonal cells.
- Resolution as a function of cells:

(N) number of cells	global grid point spacing (km)
<b>(6)</b> 40,962	125.1
<b>(7)</b> 163,342	62.55
<b>(8)</b> 655,362	31.27
<b>(9)</b> 2,621,442	15.64
<b>(10)</b> 10,485,762	7.819
<b>(11)</b> 41,943,042	3.909



# Resurrection of the tweaking algorithm

- The tweaking algorithm optimizes properties of the icosahedral grid which improve the finite-difference operators
- ✤ It lives again

## What the tweaking algorithm does

- The Voronoi corner is defined as the point equidistant from surrounding grid points.
  - The cell wall bisects the line connecting grid points
  - The cell wall is perpendicular to the line connecting grid points
- The line connecting grid points does not necessarily bisect the cell wall.
- The tweaking algorithm positions all grid points so that red points are coincident with green points (or at least it does the best it can)



### What the tweaking algorithm does

The goodness of particular configuration of points can be expressed as a cost function:

$$F = \sum_{n=1}^{all \ cells \ cell \ walls} \left( goodness \ of \ wall \right)_{n,i}^{2}$$

Solved using quasi-Newton methods:

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{minimize}} F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

Other grid properties can be optimized using different cost functions

## Reduce the problem size

- The number of independent variables can be reduced by imposing symmetries intrinsic to the grid
- Great circles can partition the sphere into 120 unique triangular subdomains
- Number of independent variables:

grid resolution	number of independent variables	
<b>(8)</b> 655,362 (31.27km)	8,192	
<b>(9)</b> 2,621,442 (15.64km)	32,768	
(10) 10,485,762 (7.819km)	131,072	
<b>(II)</b> 41,943,042 (3.909km)	524,288	
(12) 167,772,162 (1.955km)	2,097,152	



#### The VVM-sphere. What has been done.

- Many key components of the VVM-sphere have been constructed and tested off-line.
- Now they are ready to be assembled into a model.

# The list of components so far...



# A simple test for the 3D multigrid

 Prescribed analytic potential temperature perturbation

$$B = g \frac{\theta'}{\theta_0 (= 300K)}$$

 Implied tendency in the horizontal vorticity equation

$$\mathbf{\eta} = -\Delta t \, \mathbf{k} \times \nabla_H B$$

 Taking the curl forms the righthand-side of the w equation.

$$\nabla^2 w + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho w) \right] = -\mathbf{k} \, \nabla_H \times \mathbf{\eta}$$



# Scaling test of 3D-multigrid on Jaguar

- ✦ The NCCS Cray XT5 with 181,00 cores
- ✦ 20 V-cycles
- ♦ 80 layers

Time (s)		Number of cores			
		5120	10240	20480	40960
Grid resolution	41,943,042 (11) (3.909km)	8.652	4.535	3.07 I	2.377
	67,772, 62 ( <mark> 2)</mark> ( .955km)	35.567	18.071	8.885	5.646
	671,088,642 (13) (0.977km)	insufficient memory	79.85	36.137	18.903

# Convergence of 3D-multigrid

- The following sequence of equations can be used to test convergence of the 3D-multigrid
- Solve for the vertical velocity. The goodness of the solution is determined by the number of multigrid cycles.
- Solve for the stream function in the top layer. Set the horizontal velocity in the top layer.
- Vertically integrate to get horizontal velocity throughout the remainder of the column.
- With the resulting W and V, the anelastic continuity equation should be zero.

$$\left[\nabla_{H}^{2}w + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\frac{1}{\rho_{0}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho_{0}w)\right] = -\mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{H}\times\mathbf{\eta}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{k} \times \nabla_T \chi_T$$
 where  $\nabla_H^2 \chi_T = -\left[\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho_0 w)\right]$ 

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_T + \int_{z_T}^{z} \left[ \nabla_H w - \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{\eta} \right] dz$$

$$\nabla \left( \rho_0 \mathbf{v} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho_0 w \right) = 0$$

# Convergence of 3D-multigrid

With 40962 cells and 40 layers

iterations of 3D-multigrid	inf-norm of the continuity equation	
2	I.09E-09	
4	2.81E-15	
8	2.26E-17	
20	2.27E-17	

#### Horizontal component of vorticity



- The horizontal advection term is technically complicated. The horizontal component of vorticity is defined at cell edges and requires a control volume grid defined at cell edges.
- The remaining terms are less complicated and have been thoroughly described by Celal. Vertical advection, stretching and tilting have been coded but not tested.

## Advection of the horizontal component of vorticity

- ✦ Follow Celal's algorithm as much as possible.
- The construction of advection control volumes goes as follows:
  - Three *flavors* of vorticity are associated with each cell
  - Identify all the edges of a particular type
  - construct a grid of Voronoi cells for each flavor
- Some small modifications are required near the pentagons.
- Since auxiliary grids are similar to the original grid. We can reuse the advection algorithms developed for the original grid.



## Scalar Advection Test

- Williamson et al. (1992)
  - A Cosine bell is advected once around the sphere in 12 days.
  - The initial bell is 1000 m.
  - Prescribed winds are approximately 38 m/s.
  - The initial pattern should remain unchanged.
- The path of advection is along a great circle. Regions near pentagons are the most anisotropic. Choose two paths:
  - Path I. Along the equator. No pentagons.
  - **Path 2.** A path chosen to encounter four pentagons.



# Scalar Advection Test

#### ✦ Difference plots show numerical - analytic. (2 m contour interval)

Williamson Test01 (163842, 3rd-order,  $\alpha$ =0) min = -0.136512e+02 max = 0.123893e+02 Williamson Test01 (163842, 3rd-order,  $\alpha$ =0.553574) min = -0.123396e+02 max = 0.120946e+02



# The dual of the hexagon-pentagon grid



# Advection of $\zeta_T$ defined at cell corners



- The 3rd-order upstream biased horizontal advection has been coded and tested.
- The remaining terms are pretty straightforward. However, they still need to be coded.



#### 2D-elliptic solver defined at cell corners

Diagnose horizontal wind  $\mathbf{v}_T$  in the top layer using  $\zeta_T$  and  $\delta_T$ . Integrate to get  $\mathbf{v}$  in the column.

 $\mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{k} \times \nabla_H \psi_T + \nabla_H \chi_T$ 

where 
$$\nabla_H^2 \psi_T = \zeta_T$$
 and  $\nabla_H^2 \chi_T = \delta_T = \nabla_H \mathbf{v}_T = -\left[\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho_0 w)\right]$ 

This will require solving a pair of 2D elliptic equations where the horizontal vorticity is defined on the *corner* grid.

# 2D-elliptic solver defined at cell corners

- This can be done with a modification of the 2D-elliptic solver defined at cell centers.
- Schematically the algorithm looks like this:



## Advection of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ defined at cell centers



- The horizontal component of wind is well positioned for the advection.
- The 3rd-order upstream biased horizontal advection based at cell centers is coded and has been throughly tested.

