

CAM Parallelism

Marat Khairoutdinov

CAM Parallelism

- MPI for communication between nodes
- OpenMP directives on processes within a node

$$N (\text{Tasks}) = N (\text{Nodes}) * N (\text{PEs per Node})$$

$$N (\text{Tasks}) = N (\text{CRCPPs running simultaneously})$$

Obviously, the more tasks can run simultaneously, the faster the model will be

CAM Parallelism

CAM1 : N (tasks) = N (Lats)

Example: T42 (EUL or SLD): maximum N (tasks) = 64

On NCAR's IBM SP, only 16 nodes can be used for CAM1 T42, as it has 4 PEs per node.

CAM Parallelism

CAM2 : $\max N (\text{nodes}) = N (\text{Lats})$

Then $\max N (\text{tasks}) = N (\text{Lats}) * N (\text{PEs per Node})$

Thus, for T42 CAM2:

On NCAR's IBM SP, $\max N (\text{tasks}) = 256$

On LL's IBM SP, $\max N (\text{tasks}) = 1024$

CAM Parallelism

In a nutshell: For T42 resolution, CAM1+CRCP could use maximum 64 PEs, while CAM2+CRCP could use as many as 2048 PEs if a 32 PEs-per-Node IBM SP is used.

That's important since the CAM + CRCP model is 99.5% parallel.

CAM Parallelism

CAM2+CRCP is 4 times as fast as CAM1+CRCP
on NCAR's "blackforest" IBM SP (in "wall-clock" sense):

T42 SLD on 64 nodes: 1 year per 5 wall-clock days

Phase II NCAR's "bluesky" 38-node IBM SP estimate:

T42 SLD on 32 nodes: 1 year per 9 wall-clock hours

CAM Parallelism

Future CAM will have “chunk” parallelism of the physics independent from the dynamics, and, thus, the “number-of-latitudes = number-of-nodes” limitation will be no more.